City of San Antonio

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

HUD Program Year 2016 (FY 2017)



Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME)

HEARTH Emergency Solutions Grant (HESG)

Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)



CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

HUD Program Year 2016 (FY 2017)

(October 1, 2016 - September 30, 2017)

CITY MANAGER

Sheryl Sculley
City Hall
100 Military Plaza
San Antonio, Texas 78204
210-207-7080

Neighborhood and Housing Services Department Division of Grants Monitoring and Administration

1400 S. Flores San Antonio, Texas 78204 210-207-6600

Department of Human Services

106 S. St. Mary's, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 210-207-8198

Respectfully submitted to the Citizens of San Antonio and to the:

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
San Antonio Field Office, Region VI
Office of Community Planning and Development
615 East Houston Street, Suite 430
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Submitted by:

City of San Antonio
Neighborhood and Housing Services Department
1400 S. Flores Street
San Antonio, Texas 78204

Table of Contents

Section	Topic	Page
CR-05	Goals and Outcomes	2
CR-10	Racial and Ethnic Composition	7
CR-15	Resources and Investments	8
CR-20	Affordable Housing	13
CR-25	Homeless and Other Special Needs	15
CR-30	Public Housing	21
CR-35	Other Actions	23
CR-40	Monitoring	29
CR-45	CDBG	31
CR-50	HOME	32
CR-55	HOPWA	34
CR-60	HESG: Sub-recipient Information	36
CR-65	HESG: Persons Assisted	39
CR-70	HESG: Assistance Provided and Outcomes	40
CR-75	Expenditures	41
	Attachment I – HESG SAGE Report	43

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

During the development of the Five Year Consolidated Plan (PY 2015-2019), the City of San Antonio's (City) Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD) undertook an extensive planning process to ensure that the plan responds to the needs of the citizens of San Antonio. This strategic planning process was led by DPCD, with the support of the National Association of Latino Community Asset Builders (NALCAB), operating under a technical assistance grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). This plan was informed by an unprecedented community engagement process to include numerous public meetings, direct engagement with more than 80 organizational stakeholders and housing experts, and a community survey. The plan is built on five key priorities (with respective goals) that were identified through the public engagement process; they are as follows:

Priority 1: Provide Decent Safe Affordable Housing

- Rehabilitate Existing Housing Stock
- Development of New Housing for Ownership
- Provide Homebuyer Assistance
- Develop New Affordable Rental Housing
- Further Fair Housing Opportunities

Priority 2: Neighborhood Revitalization

- Create mixed use, mixed income neighborhoods
- Improve Housing & Transportation Connectivity
- Improve Public Infrastructure
- Eliminate Environmental Hazards and Blight

Priority 3: Provide for special needs populations

- Provide Housing and Services for HOPWA
- Provide Affordable Childcare

Priority 4: Housing and supportive services for the homeless

- Provide Housing and Services for the Homeless
- Provide Services to Prevent Homelessness

Priority 5: Economic Development

Provide Financial Literacy Training

The City anticipates receiving an estimated \$89 million in federal funding from HUD for the four federal entitlement grant programs to include the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) and

the HEARTH Emergency Solutions Grant (HESG/ ESG) under the Five Year Consolidated Plan.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Table 1 - Accomplishments - Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Goal	Category	Source Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected Strategic Plan	Actual Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected Program Year	Actual Program Year	% Complete
1A: Rehabilitate Existing Housing Stock	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1,357,80 0HOME: \$1,500,00	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	285	307	108%	112	70	62.5%
1B: Development of New Housing for Ownership	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$1,240,49 5	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	200	60	30.00%	25	0	0%
1C: Provide homebuyer assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$301,476	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	250	142	5680%	35	63	180.0%
1D: Develop New Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$3,750,00 0	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	57	185	324%	30	179	46.7%
1E: Further Fair Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing Homeless	CDBG: \$192,784	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	1,125	1,876	5997%	225	681	303%
2A: Integrate Mixed Income Communities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$0	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0	0.00%	250	0	0.00%
2A: Integrate Mixed Income Communities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$269,829	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	59	33	56%	9	11	122.2%
2B: Improve Housing & Transportation Connectivity	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$450,000	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	6,000	38,108	635%	1,000	27,680	1772.5%
2C: Improve Public Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$3,180,93 1	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1,000	10,770	1077%	2,000	35,410	538.5%
2D: Eliminate Environmental Hazards & Blight	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$187,749	Housing Code Enforcement/Forecl osed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	7,500	2,478	33%	1,500	1,265	84%

Goal	Category	Source Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected Strategic Plan	Actual Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected Program Year	Actual Program Year	% Complete
3A: Provide Housing and Services for HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$1,207,09 6	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5,500	3,007	55%	0	1,744	100%
3A: Provide Housing and Services for HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$0	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	395	192	83%	79	137	344%
3A: Provide Housing and Services for HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$0	Overnight/ Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	460	205	45%	0	99	100%
3A: Provide Housing and Services for HOPWA	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$0	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	325	57	18%	0	13	100%
3B: Provide Affordable Youth Care	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$272,422	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	10,000	3,695	37%	2,500	1,867	75.0%
4A: Provide Housing & Services for Homeless	Homeless	HESG: \$283,682	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	18,527	9,317	50%	3,970	4,679	118%
4A: Provide Housing & Services for Homeless	Homeless	HESG: \$0	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	1,000	328	33%	0	122	61.86%
4B: Provide Services to Prevent Homelessness	Homeless	HESG: \$711,086	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	425	322	76%	285	252	82.35%
5A: Provide Financial Literacy Training	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$200,000	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	2,000	1,206	60%	400	536	134%

Table 2 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

In PY 2016, the City undertook the activities listed in Table 1. Major initiatives in the PY 2016 Annual Action Plan focused on meeting the goals outlined in Priority 1: Provide Decent Safe Affordable Housing. The City allocated about \$8.3 million in CDBG and HOME funding for the rehabilitation of existing housing stock, development of affordable housing for ownership and rental, providing homebuyer assistance and furthering fair housing opportunities. The City exceeded the goals set forth in the Annual Action Plan under the categories of development of affordable rental housing,

homebuyer assistance and furthering fair housing opportunities. The affordable rental housing annual outcomes include 165 CDBG funded completed rental units and 14 HOME funded completed rental units. The City is also exceeding the strategic plan goals in the rehabilitation of existing housing stock. While development of affordable housing for ownership fell short of the annual goal, there are two single family housing developments that will be completed in PY 2017.

The City allocated about \$3.81 million in CDBG funding for Priority 2: Neighborhood Revitalization which included funding for façade improvements, public infrastructure improvements and code enforcement activities. Through two Substantial Amendments, another \$4.58 million in CDBG Program Income and reprogrammed funds was allocated to Priority 2 for public infrastructure and facility improvements. CDBG funds that were allocated for the Brownfields Remediation activity in PY 2015 were reprogrammed to other CDBG activities in PY 2016. The Façade Improvement Program exceeded the goal of 9 activities by completing 11 activities. The City has allocated more than \$10 million to over 30 infrastructure activities in low-income, primarily residential, neighborhoods. The City completed 6 infrastructure improvement activities that benefitted 63,095 residents in the census tracts where these activities were located. The final activity under this priority is Code Enforcement. Although the City fell short of its annual goal due to an extended vacancy of one of the CDBG funded code enforcement positions, 1,265 code violations were issued and 100% were corrected by either the City (14%) or the property owners (86%). Code violations resolved by the City were corrected using non-CDBG funds.

The City allocated \$1.2 million in HOPWA funding and \$272,422 in CDBG funding to Priority 3: Provide for Special Needs Populations. HOPWA funded Public Service activities (other than LMH benefit), Tenant-Based Rental Assistance, Overnight/Emergency Shelter, and Housing Operations. The City exceeded the overall goal for this funding (note that all accomplishments for the program year could not be reported in Table 1 because of an issue with the IDIS eCon planning suite, but they are reflected in Table 2). CDBG funding was utilized for two public service activities that included the Summer Youth Program and the Community Centers Extended Hours Program. Both activities are qualified as LMA, but the Summer Youth Program tracks the number of youth in attendance. For purposes of consistency with the goal listed for this activity, the 125,035 residents that live in the service area of the community centers were not reported in Table 1.

The City allocated \$994,768 in HESG funding to Priority 4: Housing and Supportive Services for the Homeless. These activities included overnight shelter, added housing for the homeless and homelessness prevention. Due to assimilation of St. PJ's Children Home to Catholic Charities Archdiocese of San Antonio, Inc. umbrella, the agency made a strategic decision to subcontract Services to the Catholic Charities Guadalupe Community Center (GCC). The GCC provides similar programing including emergency rental assistance through FEMA EFSP funds and other services that meet the scope of work including food, clothing, utility assistance, and case management. Note that all accomplishments for the program year could not be reported because of an issue with the IDIS eCon Planning Suite, but they are reflected in the Strategic Plan to Date portions.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	HESG
White	2,083	131	1354	2331
Black or African American	472	25	569	750
Asian	4	1	6	9
American Indian or American Native	4	0	15	24
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific				
Islander	10	1	2	3
Other Multi-Racial	511	9	48	77
Total	3,084	167	1994	3194
Hispanic	2,024	112	1114	1685
Not Hispanic	1,060	55	880	1509

Table 3 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The CDBG Program assisted a total of 3,084 beneficiaries including 2,024 that identified their ethnicity as Hispanic. Additionally, 2,083 identified their race as White, 472 Black or African American, 4 Asian, 4 American Indian or American Native, 10 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and 511 as other multi-racial for which there is no data entry field in the eCon Planning Suite. Therefore, the totals under the race and ethnicity tables will not match.

The HOME Program assisted a total of 167 families including 112 that identified their ethnicity as Hispanic. Additionally, 131 identified their race as White, 25 as Black or African American, 1 as Asian and 1 as Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. 9 families identified their race as other multi-racial for which there is no data entry field in the eCon Planning Suite. Therefore, the totals under the race and ethnicity tables will not match.

The HOPWA program assisted a total of 1,994 beneficiaries including 1,114 that identified as Hispanic. Additionally, 1354 White, 569 Black or African American, 6 Asian, 15 American Indian or American Native, 2 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and 851 Not Hispanic was included in HOPWA activities. 48 families identified their race as other multi-racial for which there is no data entry field in the eCon Planning Suite. Therefore the totals under the race and ethnicity tables will not match.

The HESG program assisted a total of 3,194 beneficiaries including 1,685 that identified as Hispanic. Additionally, 2,331 White, 750 Black or African American, 9 Asian, 24 American Indian or American Native, 3 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and 1,509 Not Hispanic were included in ESG activities. 77 families identified their race as other multi-racial for which there is no data entry field in the eCon Planning Suite. Therefore the totals under the race and ethnicity

tables will not match.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		\$22,381,000	\$17,076,280.04
HOME		\$10,414,198	\$5,579,319.04
HOPWA		\$1,244,429	\$937,458.74
HESG		\$1,047,124	\$973,397.90

Table 4 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Grant resources for the four entitlement grants (CDBG, HOME, HESG, and HOPWA) as described in the City's PY 2016 Annual Action Plan, including program income and reprogramming funds from substantial amendments, totaled \$35,086,751. This amount includes two substantial amendments in PY 2016 which increased CDBG resources by \$9,133,582 and HOME resources by \$3,869,841. The City drew down \$24,566,456 across all four entitlements.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Wheatley Target			Multi-Family Rental Housing
Area	9%	10%	Development/ Public Infrastructure

Table 5 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The City made a \$16.4 million commitment in PY 2013 - 2017 CDBG and HOME funds to the Wheatley Target Area, which is the recipient of both the Promise Neighborhood and CHOICE Neighborhood Implementation Grants. These funds were awarded for multi-family rental housing development, public infrastructure, and site clearance. For PY 2016, the City drew down \$1.5 million in HOME and CDBG funding or 10% of this year's allocation. Note, the funds expended in PY 2016, were awarded in PY 2014 and PY 2015. To date, the City has drawn down about \$7.5 million or 46% of the total \$16.4 million commitment to this target area.

Strategic Catalytic infrastructure and public facility improvements and façade improvement activities are also implemented in target areas that were identified by the City as priority areas for neighborhood reinvestment and revitalization. These areas include Avenida Guadalupe, Edgewood, Five Points, Harlandale, Las Palmas, South San/ Kindred, and Wheatley.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City incurred a match liability of \$579,170.37 which is 25% percent of total disbursements for PY 2016. The percentage was taken from the HOME Match Liability report generated in IDIS. The City exceeded the match liability of 25% and recorded \$2,837,512.42 in HOME match with two Multi-Family Housing Development activities – Acme Road Apartments and Wheatley Park Senior Living. The HOME match for Acme Road Apartments in the amount of \$2,109,056.42 was attributed to bonds and the match for Wheatley Senior Living in the amount of \$728,456 was attributed to SAWS fee waivers. The City did not leverage any publicly owned land or property to address the needs of the plan. Please note that the City started a new HOME Match receipt log in PY 2015, therefore line 1 of the HOME Match report only includes excess match remaining from PY 2015 and does not include prior years' carryover match.

In PY 2016, the City allocated \$510,000 of CDBG funds for the Green and Healthy Homes (Lead) Program which served as a match for the City's HUD Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program.

In addition, the San Antonio Food Bank (SAFB), a Community Kitchen program expended \$271,506 during PY 2016. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. The value of food donations were used as match, along with corporate contributions.

San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries, a Rapid Re-Housing program expended \$193,102 during PY2016. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. Same program services provided out of Agency's own funds were used as match.

St. Vincent De Paul, a Rapid Re-Housing program expended \$50,952 during PY 2016. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. Same program services provided out of Agency's own funds were used as match.

The City's Department of Human Services (DHS), expended \$56,989 for Administration and \$142,531 for Homeless Prevention Program during PY 2016. DHS met its 1:1 match through the use of General Fund dollars.

St. Peter St. Joseph (SPSJ), a Homeless Prevention program, expended \$42,666 during PY2016. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. Same program services provided out of Agency's own funds were used as match.

Family Endeavors, an Outreach program expended \$42,128 during PY 2016. The 1:1 match for

the program expenses were provided by the City's General Fund dollars.

Family Violence Prevention, a Homeless Prevention program expended \$173,523 during PY2016. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. Same program services provided out of Agency's own funds were used as match.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	2,960,776					
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	2,837,512					
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	5,798,288					
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	579,170					
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	5,219,118					

Table 6 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year									
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non- Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match		
25-0240126										
Acme Road										
Apartments	8/11/2017	0	0	0	0	0	2,109,056	2,109,056		
25-0230033										
Wheatley Park										
Senior Living	10/1/2016		728,456	0	0	0	0	728,456		

Table 7 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

Program Income	Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period									
Balance on har beginning of rep period \$		Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$					
	0	4,868,929	418,940.73	0	4,449,988.27					

Table 8 – Program Income

HOME MBE/WBE report

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and
dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period

	Total	N	Ainority Busin	ess Enterprise	s	White
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Non- Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	21,666,566	0	19,632,433	0	0	2,034,133
Number	5	0	1	0	0	4
Sub-Contrac	cts					
Number	34	0	0	0	4	30
Dollar						
Amount	10,694,612	0	0	0	1,914,351	8,780,261
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts	Total	Enterprises	Male			

		Women Business	
	Total	Enterprises	Male
Contracts			
Dollar			
Amount	21,666,566	1,229,000	20,437,566
Number	5	1	4
Sub-Contracts			
Number	35	1	30
Dollar			
Amount	10 694 612	34 950	10 659 662

Table 9 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

	Total	Minority Property Owners			White	
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Non- Hispanic
Number	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dollar						
Amount	2,372,158.42	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 10 - Minority Owners of Rental Property

MINORITY OWNERS OF RENTAL PROPERTY

Two affordable rental housing developments were completed in the program year with a total HOME investment of \$2,372,158.42. These properties were developed by limited liability corporations, therefore race and ethnicity of the developers cannot be determined.

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations		
Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily		
Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households	Total	N	Minority Property Enterprises			White Non-
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 11 - Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to		
be provided affordable housing units	109	167
Number of Special-Needs households to		
be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	109	167

Table 12 - Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported		
through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported		
through The Production of New Units	55	87
Number of households supported		
through Rehab of Existing Units	19	9
Number of households supported		
through Acquisition of Existing Units	35	71
Total	109	167

Table 13 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The one-year goal for the number of non-homeless to be provided affordable housing units was 109 and the City exceeded the goal by 58 units for a total of 167 units. This was primarily attributed to the production of new multi-family rental housing development and the acquisition of existing units. Although the City did not meet its one-year goals for single-family new construction units and rehabilitation of existing units, the City currently has two single family housing developments and 20 rehabilitation activities committed in IDIS that will be completed in PY 2017.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City anticipates that the number of activities funded in prior year Annual Action Plans will be completed in PY 2017.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	46	28
Low-income	23	33
Moderate-income	23	106
Total	92	167

Table 14 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Among the persons served with CDBG funds, 50% were extremely low income, 25% were low income, and 25% were moderate income. With regard to HOME funds, 17% were extremely low income, 20% were low income and 63% were moderate income.

Of the 92 persons served with CDBG funds, 90 were owner occupied households and 2 were renter occupied. Of the 167 persons served with HOME funds, 80 were owner occupied households and 87 were renter occupied.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The annual Point-In-Time (PIT) was conducted to count unsheltered and sheltered homeless persons in San Antonio/Bexar County. The count provided demographic information that was used to plan a local homeless system response, to tailor programs, and to meet housing and supportive service needs. A total of 2,743 individuals were counted in 2017, with 1,102 unsheltered, a decrease of 3% from 2016. Considering the growing population of the San Antonio/Bexar County area, and the increased number of volunteers, a decrease in unsheltered homelessness was a great achievement for the local Continuum of Care (CoC). A separate youth count was conducted, led by formerly homeless youth, to ensure an accurate youth count. Below are examples on how the City of San Antonio and collaborative partners are reaching out to homeless persons and assessing their needs:

The San Antonio/Bexar County Continuum of Care (CoC) established a Coordinated Outreach Committee to ensure effective coverage of the geographic area's unsheltered homeless population. Collaborative partners such as: the Department of Human Services (DHS), Haven for Hope, San Antonio Police Department, Family Endeavors, American GI Forum, Center for Health Care Services, San Antonio Independent School District, Thrive, Salvation Army, and SAMMinistries outreach staff and peer navigators are specifically targeting veterans, chronically homeless, youth, and families to ensure they are connected to the appropriate housing resources in the local Coordinated Entry system. The Department of Human Services (DHS) also funds ID and birth certificate recovery which was implemented by the San Antonio Police Department Homeless Outreach Positive Encounters (HOPE) Officers to ensure every unsheltered homeless person has access to employment and housing opportunities.

The HEARTH Act and federal regulations require communities to develop a mechanism to assess the needs of individuals and families experiencing homelessness. Therefore, the CoC implemented the Vulnerability Index - Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (VI-SPDAT) and it is now mandated by all CoC-funded agencies. The VI-SPDAT is a nationally recognized tool for assessing the needs of homeless individuals and families in the areas of housing, health risks, socialization and daily functions, and wellness. The use of this assessment across the CoC allows providers to direct everyone to the appropriate services based on the needs of the individual. This assessment is conducted in the streets, at the downtown library, in the county's jail re-entry center, and in emergency shelters in the community.

DHS continues to support the efforts initiated by the Mayors Challenge to End Veteran Homelessness in our community. This work is performed to serve local veterans in an effort to ensure there are no veterans sleeping on our streets; every veteran identified as homeless has

access to permanent housing; and veterans at risk of becoming homeless quickly achieve housing stability. DHS and Collaborative Veteran partners such as: Family Endeavors, American GI Forum, SARAH, SAMMinistries, and Haven for Hope convene bi-weekly and participate in a DHS and CoC lead Veteran and Chronic Homeless working Group. This workgroup assess and prioritize homeless Veterans and Chronic Homeless based on a vulnerability target take down list. DHS reprioritized grant and general fund resources to support this initiative, implementing a Navigator Support System and identifying permanent supportive and affordable housing. Since joining the challenge in January 2015, DHS and collaborative partners have housed approximately 2,095 homeless veterans.

The Haven for Hope Prospects Courtyard (PCY) was envisioned to be a safe place for people to sleep, shower, receive meals and receive an array of medical, mental health and social services. However, since the opening of Haven for Hope, about 4,000 people have graduated to some form of housing and supportive services. The Haven for Hope Outreach team engages homeless individuals on the street. The team builds relationships with chronically homeless individuals and provides information about services, with the goal of engaging the individuals to access the services provided at Haven for Hope.

The San Antonio Police Department has been instrumental in supporting the City's mission of ending homelessness. The development of specialized teams within their Mental Health Unit enabled the City to provide unique services and collaboration with homeless service providers. The Mental Health Unit created two teams. The first team is the Integrated Mobile Partners Action Team (IMPACT) which consists of a SAPD Mental Health Unit Officer, San Antonio Fire Department - Emergency Medical Technician, Center for Health Care Services Mental Health Specialist, and a homeless Navigator. The IMPACT mission is to provide medical and psychological screening for the homeless. IMPACT collaborates with multiple different community resources to restore quality of life. The second team is the Homeless Outreach Positive Encounters (HOPE). The HOPE team consists of two officers that are not in uniform to present a less intimidating approach to engaging with the downtown homeless population. These officers are well known and respected by the homeless population. Their approach and positive interactions has enabled them to develop a rapport with the homeless population. Since implementation, the HOPE team has been successful in serving as an initial point of contact to connect the most chronically homeless and those with severe mental illness and addiction to shelter and services. IMPACT and HOPE team outreach efforts and coordination with the CoC, Haven for Hope and other homeless service providers has made a positive impact. The IMPACT and HOPE teams have documented over 1,693 contacts with homeless individuals since the start of the program resulting in a 45% referral rate. Data shows that homeless individuals are now more willing to accept assistance and refusals of services has been reduced from 57% to 38%. As an added benefit, the teams have also played a critical role in locating missing or inactive Veterans, which contributed to the success of the Mayors Challenge to End Veteran Homelessness. Additional street outreach is conducted by the Center for Health Care Services (CHCS) PATH team, which provides outreach and case management for homeless individuals with mental illness or substance abuse issues, and the Office of Veteran's Affairs.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

In FY 2017/ PY 2016, the City of San Antonio provided both Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) and non- federal funding for essential services and operations to local emergency shelters and transitional housing facilities.

Haven for Hope is the largest provider of emergency shelter in San Antonio, and the City provides significant investment in Haven for Hope and the nonprofit partners that provide essential and support services on the campus. The 22 acre campus is located just west of downtown and provides comprehensive services such as medical, dental, mental health, job training, counseling, educational services and case management. Haven for Hope provides dormitories for men, women and families, and food services are provided by the San Antonio Food Bank. Haven for Hope Campus and courtyard modifications are also being made to address the needs of special populations. At DHS's request, Haven for Hope created a 20 bed low-barrier emergency shelter area on the Campus for homeless Veterans. Haven agreed to waive rules regarding sobriety, criminal background (except sex offenses), and program participation in order to ensure homeless Veterans were sheltered while working through the placement process for permanent housing. Currently there are no shelters in San Antonio that allow married couples without children to stay together and many choose to sleep on the street rather than be separated. Renovation of the Martin Street building, across from the Courtyard dealt with construction delays but is expected to be completed by February 2018 and will provide shelter for up to 20 such couples.

In addition, HOPWA funds of \$ 518,777 to San Antonio AIDS Foundation (SAAF) was awarded to three programs to assist homeless individuals with HIV/AIDS transition to permanent housing and independent living; \$319,731 was awarded to the SAAF Tenant Based Rental Assistance program; \$149,724 in ESG to the San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries (SAMM) for Rapid Re Housing; \$321,089 in HOPWA funds was awarded to the Alamo Area Resource Center (AARC) for the Greater SA Housing Works program that assists members with HIV/AIDS with locating permanent housing options; \$47,500 in HOPWA was awarded to Beat Aids to support individuals with HIV/AIDS with case management; \$200,701 was awarded to Family Violence Prevention to support the Battered Women's Shelter; \$47,500 in ESG was awarded to St. Vincent De Paul to support rapid re-housing efforts and; \$47,500 in ESG was awarded to St. Peter St. Joseph to support homeless prevention and rapid re-housing assistance to homeless individuals that were former or aged out of foster care.

The CoC conducted an annual gaps analysis, with CHS Consultant Group to identify possible gaps in permanent housing and shelter. The analysis indicated that there was an adequate amount of emergency shelter and transitional housing available based on 2016 data, however, there is still a shortage of permanent housing opportunities. An area of focus for 2017 is to make sure transitional housing is focused on services related to obtaining permanent housing, as well as reducing program barriers for clients seeking services. There were a total of 1,641 people included in the 2017 PIT Shelter Count.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City of San Antonio developed three strategies to support low income and chronic homeless individuals and families. DHS realigned resources to focus on veteran and chronic homeless. DHS redirected services and funding to support rapid re-housing and required contracted agencies to prioritize Chronic and Veteran homelessness. In the FY 2017 Budget, \$394,000 of federal ESG funding and \$150,000 in General Funds were allocated to serve homeless Veterans and the chronic homeless on the Courtyard. The City then prioritized housing subsidies and housing first. Prioritizing Housing vouchers for Homeless Individuals provides a catalytic change for communities addressing chronic homelessness. DHS and SARAH are working with San Antonio Housing Authority (SAHA) to review and improve our coordinated placement system and create a shift in access to housing by removal of barriers. The community is seeking an increase in homeless preference housing vouchers to provide outcomes toward permanent housing and stabilization. SAHA currently provides 600 of 13,000 total local vouchers as set aside vouchers for Courtyard and homeless individuals. DHS is also focused on the expansion of the Mental Health and Substance Abuse Agenda Treatment. The County, with strong support from the City, submitted proposed S.B. 292 to legislation to support access for equitable funding to support mental health. S.B. 292 submission was passed by legislation on the Mental Health/Bill Research Report. This bill relates to the creation of a grant program to reduce recidivism and incarceration of individuals with mental health. Additional mental health funding would assist providers to effectively treat more San Antonio and Bexar County chronically homeless with mental health illnesses.

The City referred homeless individuals who do not have a driver's license or birth certificate to Christian Assistance Ministry (CAM) or SAPD HOPE Officers to retrieve those missing documents. CAM helped chronically homeless individuals with the ID recovery service which, in turn, allowed the homeless individuals to receive homeless services from other agencies. SARAH published a resource guide on housing and supportive services in the San Antonio area for individuals with HIV/AIDS. The resource guides are available on their website, various medical facilities, and throughout collaborative partner agencies.

SARAH contracted with a national consultant from Cleveland Mediation Center to San Antonio/Bexar County to train homeless service providers on diversion techniques. This strategy helps to reduce the number of individuals entering the homeless emergency response system for the first time by implementing conflict mediation strategies and connecting clients with other resources. The CoC keeps an updated inventory of mainstream resources available to service providers in the community.

Additionally, SARAH conducts working groups with partner agencies to solve homelessness issues, including youth, veterans, chronically homeless and family violence. Participants include representatives from health care, foster care, mental health, and correctional institutions to ensure a fluid and coordinated system of care. The city and the CoC are currently working on an access point for Prevention services in the coordinated entry system.

St. Peter St. Joseph's Children's Home's goal is to provide rental assistance to prevent eviction and homelessness of households supported through the ESG programs. The assistance is contingent upon the client and landlord working with the agency to ensure the client can remain in the household and the eviction process will be stopped. This is essential to reducing homelessness among the population that we serve through this grant who are extremely low income (below 30% of the Average Median Income). Many times the families are on the verge of being homeless and have exhausted all other options to receive assistance. This program is essential to ensuring that those at high risk of homelessness that will reenter the coordinated entry system and/or other institutions are receiving assistance to address all of their social needs. This includes case management and life skills classes to ensure they are self-sufficient. The life skills classes include budgeting, stress management, nutrition, exercise, health relationships and goal setting. 100% of households provided financial assistance participated in the life skills classes.

DHS's Family Assistance Division provided prevention services to 45 households with 139 household members at-risk of becoming homeless in PY 2016 for dedicated ESG funds in the amount of \$198,369. DHS offered an array of programs through the Family Assistance Division for homeless prevention and to assist residents experiencing financial hardships with the payment of utility assistance and rental assistance. DHS will continue to collaborate with San Antonio Water System (SAWS) and City Public Service (CPS) to offer utility assistance programs such as Project WARM (Winter Assistance Relief Mobilization), Residential Energy Assistance Partnership (REAP), SAWS AGUA Project, Plumbers to People, Laterals to People, and ADP (Affordability Discount Program). These programs assist rate payers at or below 125% of the federal poverty guidelines with their utilities. An estimated 5,415 households receive gas/electric services at a value of \$1,666,803.00 and 2,044 households assisted with water services (AGUA, CSBG, ESG and ADP) at a value of over \$283,670.00. The Department of Human Services, Family Assistance Division (FAD) provides funding in rental assistance and will serve around 162 households. Approximately 1,748 senior households were being served with various services which include utility, rent, prescriptions, and medical equipment and personal care items. Approximately 110 households (309 household members) are enrolled in long term case management where clients work to transition out of poverty by completing education goals, skills training and search for better employment.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were

recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The Department of Human Services (DHS) will administer the Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG) and coordinate activities to enhance the quality and quantity of homeless facilities and services for homeless individuals and families. ESG funds will be used for a variety of activities that directly relate to homeless individuals, including Rapid Re-Housing, Homeless Prevention, and Emergency Shelter. In FY 2017, the City dedicated \$150,000 in City General Funds and \$250,268 in ESG funds to support Homeless Prevention to Street Outreach, funding three "navigator" positions to support the initiative to end veteran homelessness. These navigators were subcontracted through partnership with Haven for Hope to provide intensive case management to chronically homeless veterans on the Haven for Hope Courtyard to provide counseling, coordinate services, provide information and referrals, and develop an individualized housing and service plan.

The San Antonio/Bexar County CoC received an annual award of \$8,654,457 from the CoC funding competition for FY 2016. \$463,475 in Haven for Hope Homeless Management Information System (HMIS); \$398,739 in SARAH Planning Grant and SA Homelink Coordinated Entry System; \$350,000 in Rapid Rehousing for Unaccompanied Youth; \$5,437,803 in Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH); \$1,343,718 in Rapid Re-Housing; \$1,010,722 in Transitional Housing and; \$179,483 in Permanent San Antonio AIDS Foundation supportive housing for chronically homeless Individuals was obtained through a Permanent Housing Bonus. The CoC focused on prioritizing permanent housing, and reallocated three transitional housing projects to apply \$639,885 toward New Permanent Supportive Housing and \$80,249 toward New Rapid Rehousing.

The CoC has adopted a Housing First strategy focused on placing homeless individuals and families into Permanent Housing without treatment requirements and provides customized support services, as needed, to maintain non-time limited affordable housing stability. The goal is to maximize an individual or family's housing stability and independence. Units can be single site or scattered with customized treatment and case management services.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City made a \$16.4 million commitment in PY 2013 - 2017 CDBG and HOME funds to the Wheatley Target Area, which is the recipient of both the Promise Neighborhood and CHOICE Neighborhood Implementation Grants. These funds were awarded for multi-family rental housing development, public infrastructure, and site clearance. The City continues to work in collaboration with the San Antonio Housing Authority (SAHA) to implement the City Council adopted Eastside Choice Neighborhood Transformation Plan and the San Antonio Housing Authority's Choice Neighborhood Initiative. The respective Plans provide strategies for the modernization of the Wheatley Courts public housing and the revitalization of the surrounding neighborhood. During the past year, the City provided a total of \$4,200,000 in CDBG and HOME assistance for multi-family redevelopment and infrastructure improvements. In August of 2016, City Council authorized \$1,700,000 in CDBG funding for the second phase of street infrastructure improvements in the neighborhood surrounding Wheatley Courts which include new and reworked utility infrastructure and streetscape improvements in existing and reworked perimeter streets. As part of the redevelopment of the community, some of the street right of ways were reconstructed and other street segments with utility excavations were patched, milled and overlaid with new asphalt. New curbs, drive aprons, streetlights, sidewalks, street trees, landscaping, asphalt paving, signage and striping were installed as part of this Public Improvements Phase II and III effort. During the year, the City met regularly with SAHA regarding the implementation of their Wheatley neighborhood infill housing development and rehabilitation program.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The San Antonio Housing Authority's (SAHA) Development Services & Neighborhood Revitalization Department created a Home Buyer Readiness (HBR) Program in 2014 to develop a pipeline of home buyers for upcoming single family developments on the east and west sides of downtown San Antonio. The HBR Program consists of a 1 hour Orientation and two (2) Saturday workshops consisting of 10-12 hours of expert presentations on the following topics: creating a spending plan to prepare for home ownership; understanding credit and your credit score; selecting a realtor and lender; getting ready for the loan process; selecting the right home owner insurance policy; and interpreting the home inspection report. These workshops are open to the public. The participants receive a certificate upon graduation. The goal of the program is to determine their level of readiness for home ownership. While attending the classes, the participants are strongly encouraged to attend monthly free financial planning sessions with a Financial Empowerment Counselor (FEC) funded by the City of San Antonio. SAHA Homeownership Division staff has coordinated meetings between local lenders and FEC staff to ensure that HBR participants receive up-to-date financial guidance on the lending process and purchasing a home. To date, 290 individuals have graduated from HBR classes.

Approximately 20% of participants are SAHA Section 8 Voucher recipients interested in purchasing a home. SAHA staff is pursuing HUD certification of the workshop and the staff. A survey of graduates found that 37% of graduates plan to buy a home within 1 year; 47% plan to buy a home within 2 years; the top two reasons cited for not having purchased a home are credit issues and down payment costs; 39% indicated their ideal home price ranged from \$100,000 to \$200,000; 60% are interested in purchasing a home in North San Antonio; 40% are interested in purchasing a home in West San Antonio; 90% said they were satisfied or very satisfied with the HBR workshops; 85% said they would return and take a post purchase homeownership class.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

No actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs as the San Antonio Housing Authority is not considered troubled.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The City continues to update the Affordable Housing Policy to allow for flexibility in the implementation of housing activities and to provide a public comment process on this policy. While there were no major changes to the policy, proposed updates included additional loan term options for Community Housing Development Organization multifamily activities; expanding third party underwriting requirements to single family new construction and CDBG funded multifamily rehab housing activities to ensure funding levels to the projects are adequate and project is viable to meet affordability period requirements.

The City's Housing Commission develops strategies to address housing-related issues and develop policy recommendations to City Council. This past year the commission engaged a consultant to prepare an infrastructure affordability study; reviewed a federal funding history analysis to gain an understanding of peer cities' use of CDBG allocations; and prepared a draft relocation policy. The Mayor's Housing Policy Task Force is dedicated to increasing the supply of affordable housing citywide. Staff supports this initiative through policy research, data collection, and facilitating community outreach meetings. In FY 2017, the San Antonio residents passed a \$20 million Neighborhood Improvements Bond. The City Council adopted the Urban Renewal Plan which will serve as an implementation document for this initiative. Bond projects must serve households under 80% AMI. Staff conducted an analysis to identify neighborhood improvement areas for potential targeted reinvestment for the bond program.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During the development of the Five Year Consolidated Plan (PY 2015-2019), the City undertook an extensive planning process to ensure input from the citizens of San Antonio. This plan was informed by an unprecedented community engagement process to include numerous public meetings, direct engagement with more than 80 organizational stakeholders and housing experts, and a community survey. Priority 1 was the need to provide decent safe affordable housing. In response to this, the City has allocated funding for rehabilitation of the existing housing stock, development of new housing for ownership, homebuyer assistance, development of new affordable rental housing, and furthering fair housing opportunities. In accomplishing the goals outlined in this priority, the City has proactively conducted outreach for the housing rehabilitation and homebuyer assistance programs to benefit low income households. The City also leverages federal funding with private resources to develop affordable single family and multifamily housing.

Through the ESG Program, the City partners with agencies such as St. Peter St. Joseph, Catholic Charities, and SAMMinistries that provide a large number of support services including food, clothing, rental assistance, case management, utility assistance, transportation assistance and other services that help reduce poverty and help underserved populations transition out of financial crisis.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City received a three-year \$3 million Lead Hazard Demonstration Grant from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development in FY 2017 (PY 2016) to address hazard reductions in low-income households where children are at risk. As a result, in PY 2016, the City provided a match of \$510,000 in CDBG funding to meet this critical community need. In PY 2016, the City assisted 96 low-income households through Lead Hazard Demonstration Grant.

The City has made significant efforts to eliminate childhood lead poisoning by:

- Implementing sophisticated lead hazard identification and reduction protocols
- Providing a wide range of technical assistance to housing service providers, for-profit and non-profit partners, and contractors
- Making lead hazard control a requirement for all City funded housing rehabilitation programs
- Operating the most efficient and effective lead based paint testing and abatement programs possible

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Department of Human Services (DHS) coordinates community based human investment strategies, develops human capital, and provides a community safety net. DHS also acts as a vehicle for collaboration between public and private organizations. In PY 2016, DHS invested over \$126 million in human development strategies. DHS supports human development and engages human development strategies through early childhood education, after school challenge, youth development, educational scholarships, transitional employment/training, and asset building/asset protection strategies. The early childhood education goal is to promote workforce participation by providing quality and affordable childcare that will prepare children to enter school ready to succeed. DHS offers the following programs for families in need of child care and after school care services:

The Head Start program provides center-based educational and family strengthening services and promotes parental/guardian involvement in the educational success of children three, four and five years of age. In PY 2016, the Head Start Program was funded for 3,020 slots. Additionally, DHS has an Early Head Start Program that began in April 2015 that serves infants and toddlers under the age of three (3). The Early Head Start Program was funded for 216 slots.

The After School Challenge Program continues to provide recreation and educational activities to youth during the school year in eight school districts, including 134 Elementary and Middle Schools. Fees are based on family income, size, and number of children. In PY 2016, 11,708 children participated.

The Department of Human Services and Community Council of South Central Texas INC. (CCSCT) provided child care and provider management services for Bexar and the 11 surrounding counties. This partnership enhanced the delivery of services between urban and rural areas by utilizing the expertise and resources of the two partners. In PY 2016, an average of 8,820 children per month received a child care subsidy.

The Seamless Child Care Program provides childcare services for families living in transitional housing or an emergency shelter. Child care services are available to these families to enable parents to work, receive training or attend school to become self-sufficient. In PY 2016, 241 children and 134 families participated.

The Family Assistance Division provided free tax preparation to 32,836 individuals and returned \$62 million to the San Antonio community in the form of tax refunds. The Family Assistance Division provides low income families and individuals with emergency assistance and support. The Family Assistance Centers program provided emergency services, referrals and case management services to include utility and rental assistance, financial counseling, Fair Housing and Housing Counseling and Long Term Case Management to over 12,680 unduplicated household members. This amount includes the 9,532 unduplicated households that were assisted specifically with utility assistance (CPS/SAWS).

The Senior Services Division currently manages senior centers targeted at empowering San Antonio's senior community to lead healthy, active, independent, and engaged lives. The Senior Nutrition Program (SNP) was established in 1973 as an integral part of the City's continuum of services for San Antonio seniors, and providing a nutritional, nourishing meal remains the core service offered across all 56 senior centers.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In FY 2016, the City of San Antonio created a new department, the Neighborhood and Housing Services Department, to focus on neighborhood engagement, implementation of the City's Neighborhood Improvements Bond Program, and housing policies and programs, including the City's CDBG and HOME grants. Additionally, the Department serves as liaison to the San Antonio Housing Authority, San Antonio Housing Trust, Housing Commission, and the Local Indicatives Support Corporation.

The City's Neighborhood Housing and Services Department—Division of Grants Monitoring and Administration (GMA) is responsible for overseeing the federal requirements of CDBG and HOME activities in addition to completing the Five Year Consolidated Plan, Annual Action Plan, CAPER, and federal reporting. In 2014, the City realigned the GMA's organizational structure in

order to develop more functional units, streamline processes, create better coordination, and be more customer focused. As a result, the Grants Unit, Contracts Unit, and Compliance Unit were developed. Since then, each unit has been working on process improvement, becoming subject matter experts and training staff in order to provide better customer service and technical assistance to internal and external partners.

The CoC Board includes representatives from City, County, Sherriff's Office, Police Department, foundations, private companies, school districts, affordable housing, Chamber of Commerce, as well as non-voting Homeless Service Providers to ensure cross-system representation for coordination and decision-making on homelessness issues. A Membership Council of 40+ service providers also meets regularly to review recommendations prior to CoC Board Approval. The Membership Council has established 5 working groups for policy-making and the CoC Board has 4 committees reporting activity.

Additionally, SARAH- the CoC Lead Agency, County, and City meet frequently to discuss the Consolidated Plan, as well as ESG Coordination. These three groups are currently meeting, with support from HUD Technical Assistance Providers, to develop comprehensive ESG Written Standards that meet the needs of all ESG Recipients and the CoC. This will create a clear and coordinated strategy to ending homelessness using ESG program funds.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City of San Antonio will continue to be a standing board member on the Continuum of Care Board of Directors, as well as play an active part on the HMIS, Coordinated Access and other subcommittees. The City will communicate the goals of the Consolidated Plan to the Continuum of Care as the direct referral source and work to ensure they are incorporated into the Continuum's strategic plan as well. The City continues to work in collaboration with multiple public and private entities including San Antonio Housing Authority, Bexar County, Haven for Hope, and nonprofit housing providers such as Habitat for Humanity in addressing the needs of low-to-moderate income, special needs, and homeless populations.

To better meet the needs of the clients, St. Peter- St. Joseph Children's Home which is now part of Catholic Charities Archdiocese of San Antonio, Inc. decided to subcontract services to another Catholic Charities facility, Guadalupe Community Center. This has enhanced the service delivery of the program to provide more supportive services to clients receiving ESG assistance. In addition, the case manager regularly attends the SA Housing Coalition which is a subcommittee of SARAH (San Antonio Regional Alliance for the Homeless). SARAH is the Continuum of Care provider for San Antonio/Bexar County and helps coordinate agencies providing HUD assistance, including ESG. This group regularly meets and enhances the housing and social service activities such as sharing best practices, creating file checklists, and coordinating referrals and procedures.

Family Violence Prevention Service's efforts in enhancing coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies are demonstrated in its active participation in SARAH and its focus on moving individuals and families out of homelessness efficiently and permanently. FVPS also demonstrates this effort in its service delivery of a rapid rehousing program and integrating rapid rehousing services into its established programs to help victims of domestic violence transition to a life of independent living, involving the use of privately owned housing for some clients as residential clients transition out of living in the shelter.

SAMMinistries has partnered with both housing authorities and private landlords and SAMMinistries Housing Specialist conducts regular outreach to landlords in the community to make them aware of their programs. SAMMinistries holds meetings regularly with property management groups to make sure their needs are heard and addressed their programs.

SARAH CoC recently held a Landlord Panel and Strategy session, hosted by the San Antonio Apartment Association, to develop a comprehensive Landlord Recruitment and Relationship Maintenance Strategy. CoC Staff, along with a SARAH Housing Workgroup, plan to implement the strategies over the next year. A key strategy in the plan is to identify C-level properties with low utilization rates as potential partners to accept formerly homeless clients from Coordinated Entry.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

In PY 2016, the Fair Housing Program took the following actions to overcome the effects of any barriers identified in the City's impediments to fair housing choice:

- The Fair Housing Program received 3,263 Fair Housing referrals and provided a variety of comprehensive housing counseling and fair housing services to 1,372 households. Of these 1,372 households, 77 received HUD Housing Counseling services. Staff investigated and mediated tenant-landlord complaints and provided Foreclosure Intervention Mortgage Counseling by phone. Counseling services included discussing concerns involving evictions, repairs, security deposits, utilities billing and termination, code violations, lease disputes, occupancy standards and other related issues.
- Provided Foreclosure Intervention Mortgage Counseling by phone to 86 households.
 About 26 households were served under the Housing Counseling activity, utilizing the
 Home Loan Port Program and Neighbor Works HOPE Hotline referral service which
 assisted delinquent homeowners in obtaining loan modifications to avoid a foreclosure.
 These households were assisted an average of 6-12 months by our program counselors.
- Provided rental assistance to 34 households to avoid eviction and homelessness and approximately 200 referrals for rental assistance.

- Monitored housing discrimination practices and encouraged voluntary compliance with City, State, and Federal Fair Housing laws through education and outreach activities: A total of 2,585 units underwent a Multi-family Monitoring Fair Housing and Section 504 review; and 29 Educational and Outreach service units were completed.
- Received 35 Fair Housing discrimination complaints. Clients were provided Fair Housing counseling guidance and 9 complaints were referred to HUD for investigation.
- Utilized several methods to inform the public about federal fair housing laws to include informational letters, media appearances, public service announcements, presentations, Fair Housing handbook distribution, printed advertisements, apartment visits, and housing provider training. Approximately 2,300 informational fliers were distributed and 3 workshops with approximately 50 attendees were conducted.
- Completed 18 apartment complex inspections for compliance with their Affirmative Marketing Plans in coordination with the City's Development Services Department.
- Continued coordination efforts with the State Foreclosure Task Force to take a proactive approach in reaching delinquent homeowners and educating them on foreclosure scam activities.

No new impediments to fair housing choice were identified.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City of San Antonio ensures long-term compliance of the CDBG, HOME, HUD 108, NSP programs through monitoring activities conducted by the Grants Monitoring and Administration Compliance Unit. Subrecipient monitoring is conducted in accordance with HUD regulations to ensure that each recipient of federal funds operates in compliance with applicable Federal, State and Local regulations.

The Grants Monitoring and Administration Division Compliance Unit monitors CDBG, HOME and NSP programs with the use of checklists created in accordance with HUD monitoring guidelines and local regulations. Risk assessments were completed by Grants, Contracts and Compliance staff on all active projects. Projects were given a ranking of high, moderate, or low risk, with the goal of monitoring all open projects at least once during the program year. Compliance staff monitors open projects for the following compliance areas: record maintenance, project eligibility and national objectives, financial management, personnel, bids and procurement, environmental review, supplies and equipment, loan processing and servicing, resale/recapture, written agreements, lead based paint, client file review, federal labor standards, URA and Section 104(d), participant eligibility, property eligibility and standards, eligible costs, contractor selection and management, fair housing and affirmative marketing.

The City maintains records of the oversight and monitoring of subrecipients, requiring each subrecipient to maintain its own records to facilitate the monitoring process and for public access upon request. Records include program files, fiscal files, agency certification files, and monitoring files. These files contain information which substantiates the HUD monitoring guidelines and local requirements.

In Program Year 2016, The Grants Monitoring and Administration Compliance Unit completed compliance reviews of 49 HOME projects, 19 CDBG projects, 3 NSP projects and 1 HUD 108 Loan project during Program Year 2016. Of the 72 completed compliance reviews completed in Program Year 2016, 33 were HOME multi-family reviews, two CDBG multi-family reviews, one NSP multi-family review, one HUD 108 facility improvement review, 17 CDBG program reviews, and 16 HOME program reviews and two NSP program reviews. The Compliance Unit provided technical assistance and worked with subrecipients and City staff to improve and strengthen program efficiencies and where needed.

The Department of Human Services Contracts Management Division monitors three categories of ESG (Shelter, Rapid Rehousing, and Prevention) and seven categories of HOPWA (Transitional Housing, Tenant-Based Rental Assistance, Facility Based Nursing Operations, Housing

Information, Dining Supportive Services, Transportation Supportive Services, and Case Management Supportive Services) activities.

The Department of Human Services created an Emergency Solution Grant (ESG) Program Policies and Standards in consultation with the HUD Federal Register 24 CFR Parts 91 and 576 and utilizes the Housing Opportunities for Person with AIDS (HOPWA) Grantee Oversight Guide to monitor activities. The Contract Monitor ensures each sub-recipient is in compliance with the HUD Rules and Regulation through an extensive on-site visit(s) and monthly desk reviews. The Contract Monitor utilizes the HUD ESG Exhibit Checklists and HOPWA Chapter Checklist to ensure a thorough review was conducted and sub-recipients are in compliance with ESG and HOPWA guidelines.

The Contracts Management Division provides each sub-recipient with a comprehensive report of findings during the review, makes necessary recommendations, and provides technical assistance as needed. The Contract Monitor maintains electronic copies of Program Performance Review(s), Contract Monitoring Form Desk Review(s), and all relevant support documentation reviewed during the monitoring review.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

As outlined in the City's Five Year Consolidated Plan, the citizen participation process for the CAPER included public review and comment period of 15 days. A Public Notice was placed in the San Antonio Express News (in English and Spanish) and La Prensa (Spanish only), two separate newspapers of general circulation, on Sunday, December 3, 2017. The report was available for public review and comment beginning December 4, 2017 through December 19, 2017 at the Neighborhood and Housing Services Department located at 1400 S. Flores Street, the Department of Human Services located at 106 S. St. Mary's Street, 7th Floor, and the Central Library located at 600 Soledad St. All building locations are accessible to disabled persons and have available handicap accessible parking. The CAPER document was also available for review and comment on the Grants Monitoring and Administration website at www.sanantonio.gov/gma. No comments were received.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City did not change the program objectives in PY 2016.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development	No
Initiative (BEDI) grants?	

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open HUD 108 Loans?	Yes

Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

The City had one active CDBG / Section 108 loan facility improvement project completed during the last year. Section 108 funds in the amount of \$1,000,000 were utilized for the rehabilitation of the Good Samaritan building for a Veterans Outreach and Transition Center. The rehabilitation of this historic site was completed in partnership with Alamo Colleges in order to provide education and training resources for veterans.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The following is a list of the 17 HOME projects within their affordability period and a summary of the issues that were noted during each review. Compliance staff performed on-site reviews of selected tenant files to ensure rent, occupancy and unit mix. It is the City's practice for Code Enforcement Officers to physically inspect 20% of units at each HOME property monitored during the year; therefore, during the 2016 Program Year the following 17 properties were inspected. Properties not inspected this year were inspected in Program Year 2015 and are scheduled to be inspected by Code Enforcement in Program Year 2017.

- Cambridge Village II- No issues
- Cevallos Lofts- Vacancy rate above 5%
- Costa Almadena- Vacancy rate above 5%
- Costa Brava- Property did not submit vacancy report
- Costa Mirada- Vacancy rate above 5%
- Emerald Village- No issues
- Esperanza at Palo Alto- Pending additional information to finalize review
- King's Court- No issues
- Master's Ranch- No issues
- Mirabella- No issues
- Monticello Manor- No issues
- Newell Plaza Retirement Center- No issues
- Seton Home- Vacancy rate above 5%
- St. James Elderly Housing- No issues
- Terrace at Haven for Hope- No issues
- The Park at Sutton Oaks- No issues
- Western Hills- No issues

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

The Fair Housing Program continues to affirmatively further fair housing in addressing greater housing choices by implementing several actions. Due to new training requirements, the plan review program with the coordination of the Development Services Department, City's Disability Office, and the Building Permits and Construction Review Offices was placed "on hold" this program year. The program reviews new multi-family construction plans for the Fair

Housing Act's Design and Construction Requirements. Additionally, in an effort to eliminate barriers to affordable housing, the City has made diligent efforts in monitoring the stated action steps of projects with Affirmative Marketing Plans. The program completed 18 apartment complex inspections for compliance with their Affirmative Marketing Plans.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

As reported in the PR-09, the City receipted \$4,868,929.00 in HOME Program Income in PY 2016. Of this amount, \$2.7 million was Program Income from prior years that was identified through an audit and had not been previously receipted and reported in IDIS. The City coordinated with the local HUD office on the process to receipt these funds.

The City expended a total of \$617,492.91 in HOME PI during PY 2016 which included \$418,940.73 on HOME administration and \$198,552.18 on HOME activities. Due to the implementation of new Grant Based Accounting requirements for the HOME Program, the City did not draw down the remaining balance of \$4,449,988.27 but rather committed these funds to eligible HOME activities. The City will continue to commit and drawdown these funds in IDIS in accordance with HUD GBA requirements and will report on the projects and amount of program income expended in PY 2017.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

During the development of the Five Year Consolidated Plan (PY 2015-2019), the City undertook an extensive planning process to ensure that the plan responds to the needs of the citizens of San Antonio. Priority 1 in the Five Year Consolidated Plan is to provide decent safe affordable housing. The City is accomplishing this by allocating funding to rehabilitation of the existing housing stock, development of new housing for ownership, provide homebuyer assistance, development of new affordable rental housing and further fair housing opportunities.

In addition, in PY 2015, the City Council established the Housing Commission to Protect & Preserve Dynamic & Diverse Neighborhoods in PY 2015. The Housing Commission meets monthly to discuss housing-related issues and develop policy recommendations to City Council for the creation of new affordable housing as well as to mitigate the negative impacts of neighborhood change. Appointees to the 15-member Commission include private and non-profit housing providers, policy experts, design and construction practitioners, financial institution representatives and community representatives.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility		
assistance to prevent homelessness of the		
individual or family	0	0
Tenant-based rental assistance	79	137
Units provided in permanent housing		
facilities developed, leased, or operated with		
HOPWA funds	123	99
Units provided in transitional short-term		
housing facilities developed, leased, or		
operated with HOPWA funds	92	13
Total	294	249

Table 15 - HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

The City of San Antonio (COSA) is the entitlement recipient of Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds. The service area includes Bexar, Comal, Guadalupe and Wilson counties. During this program year, the City funded two project sponsors including the Alamo Area Resource Center (AARC) and San Antonio AIDS Foundation (SAAF). The HOPWA programs address the housing and social service needs of low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS and their family members.

The San Antonio AIDS Foundation (SAAF) Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program provides long-term rental assistance to individuals with HIV/AIDS and their families that have limited or no income and are at risk of becoming homeless. In FY17, the SAAF TBRA program served 79 households. SAAF offers a transitional shelter, the Carson House, which provided services to 99 persons living with HIV/AIDS. The Carson House can serve up to 20 individuals at a time and also has the capacity to serve transgender individuals. A Dining Program is also offered by SAAF, which provided three hot, nutritious meals per day to 460 individuals living with HIV/AIDS.

Recent trends in the HIV community have undergone a radical transition, with people affected with HIV living healthier, functional lives thanks to the advent of HIV medications. Historically,

people with an HIV diagnosis became severely ill due to the increase acquisition of opportunistic infections. As such, specialty care facilities, such as SAAF's special care unit, became increasingly pivotal in the ongoing care of those afflicted with deadly infections. However, anti-retroviral medications have successfully inoculated the virus, making it possible for people with an HIV diagnosis to have a suppressed viral load. In other words, people who are living with HIV do not need to receive ongoing nursing care. In fact, SAAF's special care unit's census count reflects this trend with a negative decline in inpatient intakes. That is not to say that all people with an HIV diagnosis do not need ongoing nursing care, rather the trend is incrementally changing to where people are being linked to care during early detection of the virus, resulting in a higher likelihood of better health outcomes.

Another trend in the HIV community that has changed is housing for homeless people with an HIV diagnosis. People living with HIV have numerous challenges and barriers, such as having limited financial resources or being recently discharged from an institutional setting. As such, both of SAAF's transitional housing and tenant-based rental assistance programs play a pivotal part in providing housing for homeless people with an HIV diagnosis until they can sustain permanent housing and a regular source of income. Census counts for both of SAAF's transitional housing and tenant-based rental assistance programs have shown an increase in clients needing assistance. This increase in metrics assures SAAF that 1) the two programs are fulfilling a need among homeless people with an HIV diagnosis and 2) capacity needs to increase to ensure that no one misses an opportunity to receive housing.

SAAF's metrics are a huge part of making decision fiduciary and strategic decisions. Additionally, HMIS is the database SAAF uses to crosscheck each program's metrics. Unfortunately, there have been several issues when running reports on HMIS. One issue is that client names are duplicated because there is no standardization of names. Human error when typing a client's names increases the probability of entering the client's name each time they visit a new location for assistance. Another issue is that clients who are deceased or who no longer require assistance have not had their file archived; rather, their file is counted as a datum point when certain reports are generated. Amalgamating data using HMIS has been arduous in the sense that numbers generated across reports differ even though the same parameters (e.g., months and years) are used to generate the reports.

The Alamo Area Resource Center Housing Works Project provides a housing resource database, housing locator service, and intensive housing case management to assist individuals and their families in locating and maintaining safe, affordable and appropriate housing. The Housing Works project served 606 individuals living with HIV/AIDS. AARC also provides a transportation program. The AARC transportation program served 583 individuals to ensure accessibility of supportive services and medical appointments for persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families in the Transitional Grant Area (TGA). AARC was able to provide housing assistance and placement to 193 households.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

HESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name SAN ANTONIO
Organizational DUNS Number 066428400
EIN/TIN Number 746002070
Identify the Field Office SAN ANTONIO

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or

subrecipient(s) will provide HESG

assistance

HESG Contact Name

Prefix Ms
First Name Melody
Last Name Woosley
Title Director

HESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 106 S. St. Mary's, 7th Floor

City San Antonio

State TX ZIP Code 78205

Phone Number 2102078134

Email Address melody.woosley@sanantonio.gov

HESG Secondary Contact

Prefix Ms

First Name Kimberly
Last Name Bianco
Title Manager
Phone Number 2102076394

Email Address kimberly.bianco@sanantonio.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 10/01/2016
Program Year End Date 09/30/2017

CAPER 37

San Antonio/Bexar County CoC

3a. Subrecipient Form - Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Saint Peter St. Joseph Children's Home

City: San Antonio

State: Texas

Zip Code: 78210-1617 DUNS Number: 806252990

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: No

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization/Non-Profit

HESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 47,500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Society of St. Vincent de Paul

City: San Antonio State: Texas

Zip Code: 78207

DUNS Number: 164918252

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: No

Subrecipient Organization Type: Non-Profit Organization HESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 47,500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: San Antonio Metropolitan Ministry

City: San Antonio State: Texas

Zip Code: 78216

DUNS Number: 150403012

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: No Subrecipient Organization Type: 501 (c) (3)

HESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 149,724

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Family Violence Prevention Services, Inc./BWSC

City: San Antonio State: Texas Zip Code: 78209

DUNS Number: 161804901

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Yes Subrecipient Organization Type: Non-profit

HESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 200,701

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: San Antonio Food Bank

City: San Antonio State: Texas

Zip Code: 78227,2209 DUNS Number: 022247886

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: No Subrecipient Organization Type: Non-profit

HESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 299,075

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

HUD issued new CAPER requirements for the HESG Program that requires reporting on aggregated program information at the subrecipient level in PY 2015. This process utilizes a newly developed report called SAGE with source data coming from the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). The SAGE report is found in Attachment I.

CR-70 – HESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

HUD ESG funds are allocated by the City in two year funding cycles. The funds were used to improve the quality of existing emergency shelters, shelter operations, supportive and case management services to the homeless, homeless prevention and rapid rehousing services. The City executed contracts with four service providers and a portion of the grant was managed by DHS's Family Assistance Division (FAD) for prevention services. The City administered the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program and coordinated activities to help low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care; or, received assistance from public or private agencies that addressed housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs.

Current performance standards for ESG were developed with the input from the CoC and ESG funded providers based on their experience and HMIS data. The CoC developed these performance standards, updates them on at least an annual basis and shares these standards with the ESG jurisdictions. The CoC is monitoring ESG drawdowns, Annual Renewal Performance (APR) metrics on a quarterly basis, and directly evaluating outcomes of CoC and ESG funded programs. The CoC currently provides PIT and HMIS information to the ESG recipients to assist in the development of performance standards. Results of the 2016 PIT were shared with the CoC Board and used to prioritize ESG and CoC homeless needs investments.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year				
	2014	2015	2016		
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	27,910	157,288		
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and					
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0		
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &					
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0		
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention					
under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0		
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	27,910	157,288		

Table 16 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year				
	2014	2015	2016		
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	91,863	152,190		
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and					
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0		
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &					
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0		
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance					
under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0		
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	91,863	152,190		

Table 17 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year					
	2014 2015 2016					
Essential Services	0	36,070	408,959			
Operations	0	0	0			
Renovation	0	0	0			
Major Rehab	0	0	0			
Conversion	0 0					
Subtotal	0	36,070	408,959			

Table 18 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year					
2014 2015 20							
HMIS	0	0	0				
Administration	0	8,676	48,313				
Street Outreach	0	42,128	0				

Table 19 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
973,397	0	206,647	766,750

Table 20 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	206,647	766,750
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	206,647	766,750

Table 21 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds	2014	2015	2016
Expended on ESG			
Activities			
1,946,794	0	413,294	1,533,500

Table 22 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

ATTACHMENT I



HUD ESG CAPER 2017

Grant: ESG: San Antonio - TX - 2016 Report Type: CAPER



Print

Download as Excel file

Q01a. Contact Information

First name	Kimberly
Middle name	
Last name	Bianco
Suffix	
Title	
Street Address 1	106 S. St. Mary's Street, Suite 700
Street Address 2	
City	San Antonio
State	T
	Texas
ZIP Code	78205
ZIP Code E-mail Address	10/100
	78205
E-mail Address	78205 kimberly.bianco@sanantonio.gov

Q01b. Grant Information

As of 12/1/2017

	FISCAL YEAR	GRANT NUMBER	CURRENT AUTHORIZED AMOUNT	TOTAL DRAWN	BALANCE	OBLIGATION DATE	EXPENDI1 DEADLI
	2016	E16MC480508	\$1,047,124.00	\$990,679.91	\$56,444.09	10/11/2016	10/11/2018
ESG Information from IDIS	2015	E15MC480508	\$1,025,839.00	\$1,025,839.00	\$0	9/30/2015	9/30/2017
	2014	E14MC480508	\$956,346.00	\$956,346.00	\$0	10/21/2014	10/21/2016
	2013	E13MC480508	\$843,303.00	\$843,303.00	\$0	10/23/2013	10/23/201
	2012	E12MC480508	\$1,145,362.00	\$1,145,362.00	\$0	11/2/2012	11/2/2014
	2011	E11MC480508	\$1,001,730.00	\$1,001,730.00	\$0	8/23/2012	8/23/2014
	Total		\$6,019,704.00	\$5,963,259.91	\$56,444.09		

CAPER reporting includes funds used from fiscal year:

Project types carried out during the program year:

Enter the number of each type of projects funded

unough ESG during this program year.	
Street Outreach	0.00
Emergency Shelter	499,776
Transitional Housing (grandfathered under ES)	0.00
Day Shelter (funded under ES)	0.00
Rapid Re-Housing	222,224
Homelessness Prevention	297,768

Q01c. Additional Information

HMIS

Comparable Database	
Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use HMIS, entering data into HMIS?	Yes
Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload?	Yes
Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use a comparable database, entering data into the comparable database?	Yes
Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload?	Yes

Q04a: Project Identifiers in HMIS

Organization Name	COSA- DHS
Organization ID	149343
Project Name	COSA ESG
Project ID	546
HMIS Project Type	12
Method of Tracking ES	
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residential project?	
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliated with	
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Email unique ID record link	4YhGpbJ4MP
Project name (user-specified)	ESG Prevention
Project type (user-specified)	Homelessness Prevention
Organization Name	St Vincent De Paul
Organization ID	154408
Project Name	SVDP COSA ESG RRH
Project ID	564
HMIS Project Type	13
Method of Tracking ES	
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residential project?	
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliated with	
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Email unique ID record link	pmPL3K9bkv
Project name (user-specified)	Rapid Rehousing
Project type (user-specified)	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
Organization Name	San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries
Organization ID	208
Project Name	SAMM COSA RRH
Project ID	562
HMIS Project Type	13
Method of Tracking ES	10
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residential project?	
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliated with	
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Email unique ID record link	uVCFjYIOP0
Project name (user-specified)	Rapid Rehousing
Project type (user-specified)	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
Organization Name	San Antonio Food Bank at H4H
Organization ID	211
•	
Project Name	H4H Job Training Culinary Arts
Project ID	411 25
HMIS Project Type Method of Tracking ES	
Method of Tracking ES	
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residential project?	
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliated with	Ni-
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Email unique ID record link	qMg2fghmWe
Project name (user-specified)	H4H Culinary Training Program

2017	ougo. Reports. FIOD 200 Ora 21(201)
Project type (user-specified)	Emergency Shelter
Organization Name	St Peter St Joseph Children's Home
Organization ID	200
Project Name	St. PJ's Project Ayuda ESG HP
Project ID	599
HMIS Project Type	12
Method of Tracking ES	
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residentia	al project?
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliate	ed with
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Email unique ID record link	GsP8JAJTN
Project name (user-specified)	Project Ayuda Prevention
Project type (user-specified)	Homelessness Prevention
Organization Name	Haven for Hope
Organization ID	188
Project Name	H4H Master Enrollment
Project ID	460
HMIS Project Type	1
Method of Tracking ES	0
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residentia	al project?
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliate	ed with
CSV Exception?	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Email unique ID record link	T8UV9w6WOh
Project name (user-specified)	H4H Master Enrollment Meals
Project type (user-specified)	Emergency Shelter
Organization Name	Family Violence Prevention Services, Inc.
Organization ID	
Project Name	Battered Women & Children's Shelter
Project ID	
HMIS Project Type	1
Method of Tracking ES	
Is the Services Only (HMIS Project Type 6) affiliated with a residentia	al project?
Identify the Project ID's of the Housing Projects this Project is Affiliate	ed with
CSV Exception?	N-
COV Exception:	No
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	Yes
Uploaded via emailed hyperlink?	
·	Yes

Q05a: Report Validations Table

Total Number of Persons Served	5113
Number of Adults (Age 18 or Over)	3369
Number of Children (Under Age 18)	1744
Number of Persons with Unknown Age	0
Number of Leavers	3796
Number of Adult Leavers	2399
Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers	2404
Number of Stayers	1317
Number of Adult Stayers	970
Number of Veterans	201
Number of Chronically Homeless Persons	606
Number of Youth Under Age 25	223
Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children	43
Number of Adult Heads of Household	3278
Number of Child and Unknown-Age Heads of Household	5
Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More	130

Q06a: Data Quality: Personally Identifying Information (PII)

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Refused	Information Missing	Data Issues	% of Error Rate
Name	0	0	0	0.00
Social Security Number	47	4	31	0.09
Date of Birth	0	0	1	0.00
Race	5	5	0	0.14
Ethnicity	6	4	0	0.00
Gender	1	0	0	0.00
Overall Score				0.17

Q06b: Data Quality: Universal Data Elements

	Error Count	% of Error Rate
Veteran Status	12	0.36 %
Project Entry Date	15	0.29 %
Relationship to Head of Household	2	0.04 %
Client Location	4	0.12 %
Disabiling Condition	2	0.04 %

Q06c: Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality

	Error Count	% of Error Rate
Destination	596	15.70 %
Income and Sources at Entry	104	3.18 %
Income and Sources at Annual Assessment	0	0.00 %
Income and Sources at Exit	242	10.07 %

Q06d: Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness

	Count of Total Records	Missing Time in Institution	Missing Time in Housing	Approximate Date Started DK/R/missing	Number of Times DK/R/missing	Number of Months DK/R/missing	% of Rec Unable t Calculate
ES, SH, Street Outreach	2333	0	0	0	3	0	0.00
TH	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PH (All)	6	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total	2339	0	0	0	0	0	0.19

Q06e: Data Quality: Timeliness

	Number of Project Entry Records	Number of Project Exit Records
0 days	3960	2694
1-3 Days	123	353
4-6 Days	59	153
7-10 Days	17	108
11+ Days	108	392

Q06f: Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Shelter

	# of Records	# of Inactive Records	% of Inactive Records
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN)	1887	0	0.00 %
Bed Night (All Clients in ES - NBN)	44971	0	0.00 %

Q07a: Number of Persons Served

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Adults	3369	2673	696	0	0
Children	1744	0	1738	6	0
Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	5113	2673	2434	6	0

Q08a: Households Served

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	3283	2664	614	5	0

Q08b: Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	914	709	205	0	0
April	1007	779	228	0	0
July	1124	837	287	0	0
October	893	705	188	0	0

Q09a: Number of Persons Contacted

	All Persons Contacted	First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – Worker unabl determine
Once	1887	1887	0	0
2-5 Times	0	0	0	0
6-9 Times	0	0	0	0
10+ Times	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Contacted	0	0	0	0

Q09b: Number of Persons Engaged

	All Persons Contacted	First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – Worker unabl determine
Once	1887	1887	0	0
2-5 Contacts	0	0	0	0
6-9 Contacts	0	0	0	0
10+ Contacts	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Engaged	1887	1887	0	0

Q10a: Gender of Adults

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Male	1470	1360	110	0
Female	1870	1284	586	0
Transgender Male to Female	22	22	0	0
Transgender Female to Male	6	6	0	0
Doesn't Identify as Male, Female or Transgender	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	1	1	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	3369	2673	696	0

Q10b: Gender of Children

	Total	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Male	881	879	2	0
Female	863	859	4	0
Transgender Male to Female	0	0	0	0
Transgender Female to Male	0	0	0	0
Doesn't Identify as Male, Female or Transgender	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1744	1738	6	0

Q10c: Gender of Persons Missing Age Information

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Male	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender Male to Female	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender Female to Male	0	0	0	0	0
Doesn't Identify as Male, Female or Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0

Q10d: Gender by Age Ranges

	Total	Under Age 18	Age 18- 24	Age 25- 61	Age 62 and over	Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused	Data Not Collected
Male	2351	881	120	1264	86	0	0
Female	2733	863	278	1536	56	0	0
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	22	0	12	10	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	6	0	5	1	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	5113	1744	415	2811	143	0	0

Q11: Age

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Under 5	728	0	728	0	0
5 - 12	750	0	750	0	0
13 - 17	266	0	260	6	0
18 - 24	377	263	114	0	0
25 - 34	1028	704	324	0	0
35 - 44	834	653	181	0	0
45 - 54	687	626	61	0	0
55 - 61	300	288	12	0	0
62+	143	139	4	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	5113	2673	2434	6	0

Q12a: Race

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
White	3674	1990	1682	2	0
Black or African American	1068	542	522	4	0
Asian	17	14	3	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	28	17	11	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	6	3	3	0	0
Multiple Races	271	70	201	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	13	9	4	0	0
Data Not Collected	5	3	2	0	0
Total	5113	2673	2434	6	0

Q12b: Ethnicity

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	2378	1420	953	5	0
Hispanic/Latino	2725	1245	1479	1	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	6	5	1	0	0
Data Not Collected	4	3	1	0	0
Total	5113	2673	2434	6	0

Q13a1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start

	Total Persons	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	1627	1362	265	0	0
Alcohol Abuse	217	214	3	0	0
Drug Abuse	680	648	32	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	277	259	18	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	953	780	173	0	0
HIV/AIDS	49	45	4	0	0
Developmental Disability	186	139	47	0	0
Physical Disability	496	441	55	0	0

Q13b1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit

	Total Persons	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	873	722	151	0	0
Alcohol Abuse	125	114	11	0	0
Drug Abuse	329	301	28	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	321	306	15	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	404	347	57	0	0
HIV/AIDS	27	26	1	0	0
Developmental Disability	115	75	40	0	0
Physical Disability	235	207	28	0	0

Q13c1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions for Stayers

	Total Persons	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	523	427	96	0	0
Alcohol Abuse	85	80	5	0	0
Drug Abuse	215	187	28	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	99	90	9	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	345	267	78	0	0
HIV/AIDS	11	10	1	0	0
Developmental Disability	47	32	15	0	0
Physical Disability	186	159	27	0	0

Q14a: Domestic Violence History

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	1600	1154	446	0	0
No	1726	1492	234	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	9	6	3	0	0
Data Not Collected	39	21	13	5	0
Total	3374	2673	696	5	0

Q14b: Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	1020	721	299	0	0
No	560	415	145	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	20	18	2	0	0
Total	1600	1154	446	0	0

Q15: Living Situation

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown House Type
Homeless Situations					
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	909	642	9	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	8	15	7	0	0
Place not meant for habitation	29	8	62	0	0
Safe Haven	1	1	0	0	0
Interim Housing	3	3	1	0	0
Subtotal	909	642	37	0	0
Institutional Settings					
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	29	29	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	87	86	1	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	24	20	4	0	0
Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	148	146	2	0	0
Foster care home or foster care group home	1	1	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	4	4	0	0	0
Subtotal	294	287	7	0	0
Other Locations					
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	3	3	2	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	6	2	6	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	6	32	4	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other housing subsidy (including RRH)	5	3	2	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	39	17	22	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house	2	2	32	0	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house	179	134	45	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	1	1	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	7	6	5	5	0
Subtotal	59	7	9	5	0
Total	91	642	46	5	0

Q20a: Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

	Benefit at Entry	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	1188	147	590
WIC	154	47	68
TANF Child Care Services	1	1	1
TANF Transportation Services	1	1	0
Other TANF-Funded Services	19	1	16
Other Source	23	3	41

Q21: Health Insurance

	At Entry	At Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
Medicaid	1265	157	684
Medicare	206	16	91
State Children's Health Insurance Program	32	11	14
VA Medical Services	89	0	54
Employer Provided Health Insurance	17	0	17
Health Insurance Through COBRA	1	0	0
Private Pay Health Insurance	44	0	25
State Health Insurance for Adults	15	0	4
Indian Health Services Program	0	0	0
Other	10	3	7
No Health Insurance	1826	2	855
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	4	0	4
Data Not Collected	673	211	845
Number of Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	0	1043	0
1 Source of Health Insurance	1090	0	634
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	103	0	34

Q22a2: Length of Participation – ESG Projects

0 to 7 days
8 to 14 days
15 to 21 days
22 to 30 days
31 to 60 days
61 to 90 days
91 to 180 days
181 to 365 days
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)
Data Not Collected
Total

Q22c: RRH Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	35	32	0	0
8 to 14 days	4	2	0	0
15 to 21 days	3	1	0	0
22 to 30 days	5	4	0	0
31 to 60 days	7	1	0	0
61 to 180 days	3	0	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	41	7	0	0
Total	98	47	0	0

Q22d: Length of Participation by Household Type

	With Only Childre	n Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	0	0
8 to 14 days	6	0
15 to 21 days	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0
31 to 60 days	0	0
61 to 90 days	0	0
91 to 180 days	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	0	0
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)	0	0
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)	0	0
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0
Total	6	0

Q23a: Exit Destination - More Than 90 Days

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household
Permanent Destinations					
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	29	3	26	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	44	7	37	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	4	4	2	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	7	2	5	0	0
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	9	9	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	11	8	3	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	14	10	4	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Destinations					
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	22	17	5	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	27	26	1	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	9	3	6	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	0	0	0	0	0
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	1	0	1	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Settings					
Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	6	2	4	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	2	2	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Other Destinations					
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	3	0	3	0	0
Subtotal	3	0	3	0	0
Total	5	79	7	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation Percentage	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0	

Q23b: Exit Destination - 90 Days or Less

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household
Permanent Destinations					
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	47	10	37	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	53	0	53	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	79	20	7	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	96	9	81	0	0
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	2	1	1	0	0
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	261	43	218	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	182	58	124	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Destinations					
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	519	2	325	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	54	4	50	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	122	103	19	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	146	97	49	0	0
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	0	0	0	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	5	5	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Institutional Settings					
Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	2	2	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	3	3	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	7	7	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	1	1	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Other Destinations					
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	6	0	6	0	0
Subtotal	6	0	6	0	0
Total	56	563	9	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive nousing destinations Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %		

Q23c: Exit Destination - All persons

	With Only Children	Unknown Househ Type
Permanent Destinations		
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy	0	0
Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	0	0
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	0	0
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0
Subtotal	0	0
Temporary Destinations		
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	0	0
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0
Subtotal	0	0
Institutional Settings		
Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0
Subtotal	0	0
Other Destinations		
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0
Deceased	0	0
Other	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	6	0
Subtotal	6	0
Total	6	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	0	0
Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	0	0
Percentage	0.00 %	

Q24: Homelessness Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Ty
Able to maintain the housing they had at project startWithout a subsidy	31	4	27	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project startWith the subsidy they had at project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project startWith an on-going subsidy acquired since project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project startOnly with financial assistance other than a subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unitWith on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unitWithout an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
Client became homeless – moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
Client died	0	0	0	0	0
Client doesn't know/Client refused	1	0	1	0	0
Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	21	1	20	0	0
Total	54	6	48	0	0

Q25a: Number of Veterans

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless Veteran	50	50	0	0
Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran	151	141	10	0
Not a Veteran	4117	2472	1662	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	7	6	1	0
Data Not Collected	5	4	1	0
Total	4347	2673	1674	0

Q26b: Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	606	523	83	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless	2567	1490	1077	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	1	1	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	52	17	29	6	0
Total	3226	2031	1189	6	0

tps://www.sagenmis.info/secure/reports/filterpages/galactic.aspx?reportID=40&client_ID=78801&157.4340=80720&iid=80720&autoexecute=true&