City of San Antonio

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFROMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

HUD Program Year 2013 (FY 2014)



Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)



CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

HUD Program Year 2013 (FY 2014)

(October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014)

CITY MANAGER

Sheryl Sculley City Hall 100 Military Plaza San Antonio, Texas 78204 210-207-7080

Department of Planning and Community Development Division of Grants Monitoring and Administration

1400 S. Flores San Antonio, Texas 78204 210-207-6600

Department of Human Services

106 S. St. Mary's, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 210-207-8198

Respectfully submitted to the Citizens of San Antonio and to the:

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development San Antonio Field Office, Region VI Office of Community Planning and Development 615 East Houston Street, Suite 430 San Antonio, Texas 78205

Submitted by:

City of San Antonio Department of Planning and Community Development 1400 S. Flores Street San Antonio, Texas 78204

Table of Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	2
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	8
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	9
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	. 15
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	. 17
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	. 20
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	. 21
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	. 25
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)	. 27
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)	. 28
CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)	. 29
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	. 30
CR-65 - Persons Assisted	. 32
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes	. 35
CR-75 – Expenditures	. 36

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

The Program Year (PY) 2013 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) illustrates the City of San Antonio's efforts to coordinate funding in order to deliver comprehensive community development projects and services to citizens. This report summarizes how federal funds were invested between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2014 (Program Year 2013) to meet the goals and objectives identified in the 2010-2014 (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2014) City of San Antonio Consolidated Plan. The City's partnership with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has enabled significant reinvestment in San Antonio to create more vibrant and livable neighborhoods. Progress relating to these efforts is due in large part to the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding received from HUD. Over the past thirty-nine years, the City of San Antonio has invested federal CDBG funds into infrastructure improvements, housing, park improvements and neighborhood facilities in the low- and moderate-income areas of the City. Likewise, strategic investment of Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Emergency Shelter Grant (HESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds has greatly improved the quality of life for many of San Antonio's most needy citizens. The PY 2013 CAPER reflects results from the fourth year of the 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan.

The Consolidated Plan is a comprehensive document that describes the City's housing market conditions identifies the need for affordable housing and community development and provides strategies to address the needs over a five-year period. The plan coordinates the City's housing and economic development with other public, private and non-profit community housing providers and non-housing service agencies. The Consolidated Plan provides a unified vision for community development and housing actions with the primary goals of providing core housing programs, promoting fair housing, providing community and supportive services, investing in public and community facilities, and promoting economic development opportunities. The CAPER provides an assessment of progress towards the five-year goals and the one-year goals. As a large and growing community, the City of San Antonio must successfully balance a diverse array of housing and community issues. Given the range of competing needs, the City must invest its scarce resources wisely. Therefore, as a general principal, the City attempts to expend public funds in a way that leverages the commitment of private sector support whenever possible.

Overall, the City is meeting or exceeding five year goals in the areas of public services, homeless prevention, assistance for persons with HIV/AIDS, public facility improvements and fair housing. The City has not been as successful in the delivery of housing related programs due to housing market conditions in prior years, capacity of local nonprofit organizations to carryout programs, and reductions in administrative staffing due to steady decline in resources since 2009. The City was successful in meeting its goals related to Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) and Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids (HOPWA) grant outlined in the Annual Action Plan. Through providing direct services and contracting with local service organizations, the City intended to serve provide assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS, provide assistance to homeless persons and those at risk of becoming homeless, and provide assistance to Low/Moderate income households and those with special needs. The City met the goals outlined in the 2013 Annual Action Plan, as demonstrated in section 91.520(g).

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected 2013 Strategic Plan*	Actual 2013 Strategic Plan*	Percent Complete*	Expected 2013 Program Year	Actual 2013 Program Year	Percent Complete
(CSS1) Provide assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS	Non- Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$1,138,748	Other	Other	1500	2042	136.13%	1263	2042	161.68%
(CSS2) Provide assistance to homeless persons	Homeless	ESG: \$843,303	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	4471	3485	77.95%	8597	3485	40.54%
(CSS3) Provide assistance to LMI and special needs	Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$1,635,521	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50	29,132	58,264%	50	29,132	58,264%
(CSS4) Provide capacity building to organizations	Non-Housing Community Development	HOME: \$150,000	Other	Other	1	4	400.00%	3	4	133.00%
(CSS5) Provide code compliance inspections	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$187,749	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	300	1938	646.00%	600	1938	323.00%
(ED1) Provide technical assistance to businesses	Non-Housing Community Development		Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	20	3	15.00%	20	3	15.00%
(ED2) Certify Section 3 businesses & individuals	Section 3 Certification		Other	Other	125	18	14.40%	60	18	30.00%

(ED3) Implement comprehensive	Non-Housing Community		Other	Other	100	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
rezoning	Development									
(ED4) Conduct EZ	Non-Housing		Other	Other	500	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
outreach to	Community									
businesses	Development									
(FH1) Update	Affirmative		Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Analysis of	Fair Housing									
Impediments										
(FH2) Process and	Fair Housing	CDBG:	Other	Other	500	1,787	357.40%	400	1,787	446.75%
mediate fair		\$192784								
housing										
complaints										
(FH3) Conduct	Fair Housing	CDBG:	Other	Other	40	67	167.50%	30	67	223.33%
outreach on fair		\$192784								
housing choice										
(FH4) Review	Fair Housing	CDBG:	Other	Other	24	25	104.17%	20	25	125.00%
permits to ensure		\$192874								
ADA compliance										
(FH5) Update the			Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Affirmative										
Marketing Plan										
(H1) Assist LMI	Affordable		Direct Financial	Households	80	20	25.00%	80	20	25.00%
Renters with	Housing		Assistance to	Assisted						
Homeonwership			Homebuyers							
(H2) Assist LMI	Affordable	HOME:	Homeowner Housing	Household	22	12	54.55%	44	12	27.27%
owner-occupied	Housing	\$1558122	Rehabilitated	Housing						
households				Unit						
(H3) Expand and	Affordable	HOME:	Homeowner Housing	Household	50	57	114.00%	14	57	407.14%
preserve	Housing	\$500000	Added	Housing						
affordable				Unit						
housing										

(H4) Assist LMI	Affordable	CDBG:	Homeowner Housing	Household	30	1	3.33%	30	1	3.33%
households with	Housing	\$35000	Rehabilitated	Housing						
minor repair				Unit						
(H5) Increase	Affordable	HOME:	Rental units	Household	175	30	17.14%	36	30	83.33%
number of	Housing	\$1287216	constructed/Rehabilitated	Housing						
rehabilitated				Unit						
rental units										
(H6) Increase	Affordable	CDBG:	Homeowner Housing	Household	205	62	30.24%	34	62	182.35%
efforts to address	Housing	\$510000	Rehabilitated	Housing						
lead hazards				Unit						
(H7) Increase	Affordable		Homeowner Housing	Household	5	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
preservation of	Housing		Added	Housing						
historic properties				Unit						
(PCF1)	Non-Housing	CDBG:	Other	Other	18	25	138.89%	5	25	500.00%
Improvements to	Community	\$2262000								
public/community	Development									
facilities										
(PCF2) Remove	Non-Housing		Other	Other	11	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
barriers in city-	Community									
owned facilities	Development									
(PCF3) Section	108 Loan	CDBG:	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	2	1	50.00%
108 Loan	Repayment	\$4741041								

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

(H1) Assist LMI renter households

Thru 2013, 228 households received homebuyer assistance. The annual expectation was not met due to low overall home sales in target neighborhoods.

(H2) Assist LMI Homeowner Housing Rehabilitation

Thru 2013, 92 households received assistance. City anticipates meeting the objective in 2014.

(H3) Expand and Preserve Affordable Housing

Thru 2013, 164 units are complete. Capacity with local affordable housing non profits to deliver new units has impacted production as well as economic conditions in prior years.

(H4) Minor Repair Housing

Thru 2013, 113 housing units are complete. The program had issues with implementation however we anticipate being on track to reach 150 unit goal in PY 2014.

(H5) Increase Number of Rental Units

Thru 2013, 558 rental housing units are complete. The City has funded several large rehabilitation and new construction projects, which are in the preconstruction phase. We expect a significant rise in the number of completed rental units in 2014.

(H6) Increase efforts to address lead hazards

Thru 2013, 454 lead hazard housing units are complete. The 5 year objective of 1025 units will not be met with CDBG funds only. However, the City addressed will meet this through use of additional HUD lead grants during the con plan period.

(PCF1) Public Facility Improvements

Thru 2013, 203 improvements are complete. City exceeded objective.

(FH2) Fair Housing Complaints

Thru 2013 8,660 persons received housing counseling services. City exceeded objective.

(FH3) Conduct Outreach on Fair Housing Choice

Thru 2013, 217 workshops were conducted. City exceeded objective.

(FH4) Ensure ADA Compliance

Thru 2013, 99 inspections were conducted. City anticipates meeting the objective in 2014.

(ED1) Provide Technical Assistance to Businesses

Thru 2013, 98 businesses have been assisted. City anticipates meeting the objective in 2014.

(ED2) Section 3 Certifications

City partnered with SAHA to promote both Section 3 Programs. To date the City has certified 78 businesses.

(CSS1) Provide Assistance to Persons with HIV/AIDS

Thru 2013, 6126 persons have been assisted. City anticipates meeting the objective in 2014.

(CSS2) Provide assistance to homeless persons

Thru 2013, 22,550 persons were assisted. City exceeded objective.

(CSS3) Provide assistance to LMI and special needs

Thru 2013, 1,333,441 persons have been assisted. City exceeded objective.

(CSS4) Provide capacity building to organizations

Thru 2013, City assisted 4 nonprofit organizations with capacity building and operational support. City exceeding objective in 2014.

(CSS5) Provide code compliance inspections

Thru 2013, the City investigated 5210 complaints. City exceeded objective.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA
White	0	16	1128
Black or African American	0	0	363
Asian	0	0	2
American Indian or American Native	0	0	2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Hispanic	0	12	763
Not Hispanic	0	4	830
Total	0	32	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The eCon Plan Suite CAPER software has not adequately pulled the racial and ethnicity data of families assisted. Therefore this narrative provides the actual as provided by the PR-23 reports.

The CDBG Program assisted a total of 71,964 beneficiaries including 55,314 that identified as Hispanic. Additionally, 64,370 White, 6,272 Black or African American, 271 Asian, 189 American Indian or American Native, 46 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, 63 American Indian or American Native and White, 5 Asian and White, 35 Black/African American and White, and 713 Other were reported in the PR-23.

The HOME Program assisted a total of 117 beneficiaries including 80 that identified as Hispanic. Additionally, 83 White, 26 Black or African American, 3 Asian, and 5 other Multi-racial were reported in the PR-23.

The ESG Program assisted a total of 4,216 beneficiaries including 2426 that identified as Hispanic. Additionally, 3015 White, 989 Black, 25 Asian, 31 American Indian or American Native, 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander were included in ESG activities.

The HOPWA Program assisted a total of 1593 beneficiaries including 763 that identified as Hispanic. Additionally, 365 White, 363 Black or African American, 2 Asian, 2 American Indian or American Native and 98 Not Hispanic was included in HOPWA activities.

The Fair housing program assisted the 1,787 households receiving these services consisted of approximately 4,824 household members. Of these households:

214 families were Black Non-Hispanic; 1,305 families were of Hispanic origin; 250 families were White Non-Hispanic; 18 families were of Asian origin; 226 single head of households

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Source of Funds	Source	Expected Amount Available*	Actual Amount Expended Program Year 2013
CDBG	PR-07	0	10,513,120
HOME	PR-07	0	3,985,673
HOPWA	PR-05	0	1,149,099
ESG	PR-05	0	1,323,084

Identify the resources made available

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Narrative

Grant resources for the four entitlment grants (CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA) as described in the City's PY 2013 (FY 2014) Action Plan, including program income and reprogramming funds, totaled \$21,334,241 for fiscal year (October 1, 2013 thru September 30, 2014). The purpose was to address obstacles to meet underserved needs, foster decent housing, provide public housing improvements and resident initiatives, reduce the number of persons below the poverty line, develop institutional structures, and enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. As verified through PR-07 IDIS report for CDBG and HOME and the PR-05 for ESG and HOPWA for vouchers between (October 1, 2013 thru September 30, 2014) in the four grant programs, the City expended \$16,970,976. The increase in expeditures from the Action Plan is primarily due to numerous entitlement disbursements from prior Action Plan years occuring during the PY 2013 Program Year. The HOME program, specifically, had issues with committments during which in turn will impact next years expenditure amount dues to the reduction in housing rehabilitation performance. The reduction in performance was due to shifting program implementation to sub-recipient agencies in order to increase performance and reduce administrative costs long term. However, overall in PY13, grant performance for the four entitlement programs should yield adequate performance.

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Collins Garden Target Area	8	10	Public Infrastructure Improvements, façade improvements, Code Compliance, homeowner rehabilitation, and homebuyer assistance
Dignowity Hill	0	0	N/A
Edgewood Target Area	10	10	Public Infrastructure Improvements, façade improvements, Code Compliance, homeowner rehabilitation, and homebuyer assistance

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Harlandale Target Area	8	8	Public Infrastructure Improvements, façade improvements, Code Compliance, homeowner rehabilitation, and homebuyer assistance
Inner City	0	0	N/A
Reinvestment/Infill			
Policy Area			
University Park/Blue Ridge Target Area	14	12	Public Infrastructure Improvements, façade improvements, Code Compliance,
			homeowner rehabilitation, and homebuyer assistance
Wheatley Target Area	60	60	Rental New Construction, Public
			Infrastructure Improvements, façade
			improvements, Code Compliance,
			homeowner rehabilitation

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

In implementing the PY 2013 Action Plan, the City had delays in expending the \$4,295,790 in initial targeted investments due to external agency capacity issues, environmental review related delays, contract development delays, and additional strategic planning efforts required prior to the start of activities. With that said, the level of investment actually increased to \$7,635,937 for REnewSA activities. Most targeted activities are slated to be underway during the 2014 program year. This resulted in a slight alteration of the percentage of allocation between the target areas.

The City will be utilizing the targeted investment for homebuyer assistance, homeowner rehabilitation, façade improvements, code compliance, public improvements, and rental housing financing in addition to PY 2014 funding made available for similar efforts.

Leveraging

The City of San Antonio was able to leverage both public and private resources on federally funded activities by participating in programs that only require only gap financing to complete the activity. For instance the City's DPA program leverages private mortgage and homebuyer equity by as much as 95-97 percent. Various multifamily new construction rental developments are underwritten and undergo a subsidy layering analysis to ensure the federal funds are provided only what is reasonable to allow the project to be affordable and sustainable.

The HOME program met or exceeded match requirements by requiring contractually activities to identify and report eligible match. The City utilizes a Match Log to Maintain information per activity. See HOME Match information in the below Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match table.

Family Violence Prevention Services (FVPS), Battered Women and Children's Shelter, was awarded \$175,701 during PY13. They used \$159,686 of the awarded amount. FVPS also used

PY12 funds \$21,542 during the reporting period. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. United Way dollars were used as match.

San Antonio Food Bank (SAFB), Community Kitchen, was awarded \$300,000 during PY13. San Antonio Food Bank used \$278,265 of the awarded amount. SAFB also used PY12 funds \$46,410 during the reporting period. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. The value of food donations were used as match, along with corporate contributions.

San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries, Rapid Re-Housing, was awarded \$25,094 during PY13. San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries used \$11,724 of the awarded amount and together with PY11 funds \$128,324 and PY12 funds \$297,518 used during the reporting period the total expenditure reported is \$437,566. They provided match documentation reflecting a 1:1 match for the funds expended. Individual contributions were used as match.

The City of San Antonio, Department of Human Services (DHS), obligated \$63,244 for Administration and \$279,264 for DHS Homeless Prevention Program during PY13 with \$26,782 expended in Administration and \$123,835 expended on Homeless Prevention. DHS also expended \$35,413 for Administration and \$113,104 for DHS Homeless Prevention from PY12 funds and \$22,460 for DHS Homeless Prevention from PY11 funds during the reporting period. DHS met its match obligation through the use of General Fund dollars.

St. Peter St. Joseph (SPSJ) expended PY11 Homeless prevention funds \$11,370 and PY12 Homeless prevention funds \$46,650 during the reporting period. SPSJ provided 1:1 match for the funds expended from other contributions.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$50,234,777					
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	398,613					
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	50,633,390					
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	615,674					
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	50,017,716					

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year										
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match			
7652	03/14/2014	0	398,613	0	0	0	0	398,613			

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

	Program Income										
Balance on hand at begin- ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$							
537,900.00	2,159,639.03	87,905.72	0	2,609,631.31							

 Table 7 – Program Income

	Minority	Business Enter	prises and Wor	nen Business En	iterprises				
		Minority Busi	Minority Business Enterprises						
		Alaskan							
		Native or	Asian or						
		American	Pacific	Black Non-		White Non-			
	Total	Indian	Islander	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic			
Contracts									
Dollar									
Amount	11	0	1	1	7	2			
Number	2,968,760	0	1,038,000	77,028	278,732	1,575,000			
Sub-Contrac	ts								
Number	17	0	0	0	2	15			
Dollar									
Amount	8747,797	0	0	0	880,875	7,866,922			
		Women							
		Business							
	Total	Enterprises	Male						
Contracts									
Dollar									
Amount	1930760	0	1930760						
Number	10	0	10						
Sub-Contrac	ts								
Number	18	0	18						
Dollar									
Amount	9,785,797	0	9,785,797						

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property						
		Minority Property Owners				
	Total	Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition								
			Number			Cost		
Parcels Acquired (Emerald Village/Pillar Oaks)			2		\$3,274,458			
Businesses Displ	aced			0			0	
Nonprofit Organ	izations [Displaced		0			0	
Households Terr	Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced			0		0		
		Minority Property Enterprises						
		Alaskan						
		Native or	Asian or					
Households		American	Pacific	Black Non-			White Non-	
Displaced	Total	Indian	Islander	Hispanic	His	panic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Cost	0	0	0	0		0	0	

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	105	333
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	105	333

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	222
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	36	30
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	39	224
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	30	77
Total	105	553

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The estimate in the one year goal for the 2013 Action Plan was determined by a forecast of completed activities based on then current spending levels for various programs. During the year there was an increase in production from the Green and Healthy Homes Initiative Lead program and the completion of activities that were supposed to complete in the prior year which flowed into PY 2013. While the completion of Sutton Oaks prompted the completion of 30 home units, the CHDO Homebuyer Program had some issues getting started to to staffing re-alignments. Overall the City completed more housing units than anticipated. The acquisition of 77 housing units were accomplished with 20 units assisted through the City's Homebuyer Incentive Program and 57 units acquired through Habitat for Humanity with prior year funding. While the total in the PR 23 states 119 HOME units and 235 in CDBG units, it is important to note that the rehabilitation delivery of 21 units cited in the PR-23 are the actually already counted in the total. So the actual total is 333 units.

The DHS Family Assistance Division provided City and other (non CPD) federal funding for rental assistance, serving 222 households with a total of 650 household members.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City anticipates a number of activities including MF Rental New Construction and Owner Occupied Rehabilitation programs which were funded during the PY 2013 Budget Cycle coming to fruition in the following year's action plan and CAPER.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	12
Low-income	0	54
Moderate-income	235	53
Total	235	119

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

The number of CDBG and HOME units (235 and 119) equals the number of assisted in the PR-23. These numbers do not include the 222 households assisted through other City and federal funding.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City uses the annual Point in Time (PIT) count to reach out to homeless persons and assess their individual needs. The PIT, conducted on January 28, 2014, is a comprehensive survey used to count the number of homeless living in San Antonio on the streets or areas not meant for human habitation, in shelters, safe havens, transitional housing. In addition to collecting demographic data, the survey includes questions related to the needs of those being surveyed, including questions on topics such as housing, job training/placement, medical/dental services, Social Security Disability Insurance, Food Stamps, mental health services, education, clothing, transportation, TANF, legal assistance, Veteran's benefits, childcare, substance abuse services, and HIV/AIDS assistance. They survey was conducted by hundreds of volunteers. The information collected was analyzed and compiled into a report by Trinity University in order to provide a thorough assessment of the needs of the local homeless population.

In addition, Homeless Service providers assess the needs of homeless individuals and families during the intake process, and input this information into the Homeless Management Information System. In addition to all HUD funded programs that are mandated to input this information into HMIS, other homeless agencies that do not receive HUD funding are encourage to use HMIS as a tracking tool and to manage their reports, and a total of 569 individuals were trained in the use of HMIS community wide. There were approximately 27,301 clients enrolled in HMIS from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

To address the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons, the City of San Antonio provided both Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) and non-federal funding for essential services and operations to local emergency shelters and transitional housing facilities. Haven for Hope is the largest provider of emergency shelter in San Antonio, and the City provides significant investment in Haven for Hope and the non-profit partners that provide essential and support services on the campus. The 22-acre campus is located just west of downtown and provides comprehensive services such as medical, dental, mental health, job training, counseling, educational services and case management. Have for Hope provides dormitories that provide beds for of men, women and children. Food services are provided by the San Antonio Food Bank, who was awarded \$300,000.00 in PY 2013 ESG funding and \$756,635 in the City General Fund to provide three meals a day, 365 days per year to those residing in emergency shelter at Haven for Hope, reaching 390,636 meals served. In addition, Family Violence Prevention Services, Inc. was awarded \$200,701.00 in ESG funding to provide shelter and case management services to women and children who were victims of domestic violence, reaching 1,377 unduplicated participants.

Helping homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

To help homeless persons, particularly veterans and chronically homeless individuals, make the transition to permanent housing and shorten the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, the San Antonio Regional Alliance for the Homeless (SARAH), the area Continuum of Care, participated in the 100,000 Homes boot camp in PY 2012. Implementing changes discussed during this training, community partners were able to reduce the amount of time between referral to use of the VASH voucher from 189 days to 39 days. This helped shorten the period of time to becoming permanently housed for veterans and chronically homeless.

SARAH has strongly encouraged current providers of Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) to either dedicate or prioritize units for the chronically homeless. It included 25 additional chronically homeless beds in 2013 Continuum of Care funding application.

ESG funds of \$312,642 were awarded to San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries for Rapid Re-Housing. SAMM re-housed 389 individuals and families who were homeless or in imminent risk of homelessness. HOPWA funds of \$121,653.00 were awarded to the Alamo Area Recourse Center (AARC) for the Greater SA Housing Works program that assists members with HIV/AIDS with locating permanent housing options, which provided service to 894 individuals with AIDS. The San Antonio AIDS Foundation (SAAF) offered two programs funded by HOPWA to assist homeless individuals with HIV/AIDS to transition to permanent housing and independent living: \$315,163 was awarded to the SAAF Tenant Based Rental Assistance program, which placed 87 persons with HIV/AIDS into affordable housing, and \$130,915 was awarded to the SAAF Transitional Housing program, which assisted 94 persons with HIV/AIDS.

To facilitate access homeless individuals overcome barriers to obtaining housing, the City referred homeless individuals that did not have a driver's license or birth certificate to Christian Assistance Ministry (CAM) to retrieve those missing documents. CAM helped chronically homeless individuals with the ID recovery service which, in turn, allowed the homeless individuals to receive homeless services from other agencies. The Bexar County Planning Council published a resource guide on housing and supportive services available in the San Antonio area for individuals with HIV/AIDS. The resource guides are available at local HIV/AIDS agencies, various medical facilities, and Bexar County Department of Community Resources.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

To help homeless persons, particularly veterans and chronically homeless individuals, make the transition to permanent housing and shorten the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, the San Antonio Regional Alliance for the Homeless (SARAH), the area Continuum of Care, participated in the 100,000 Homes boot camp in PY 2012. Implementing changes discussed during this training, community partners were able to reduce the amount of time between referral to use of the VASH voucher from 189 days to 39 days. This helped shorten the period of time to becoming permanently housed for veterans and chronically homeless.

SARAH has strongly encouraged current providers of Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) to either dedicate or prioritize units for the chronically homeless. It included 25 additional chronically homeless beds in 2013 Continuum of Care funding application.

ESG funds of \$312,642 were awarded to San Antonio Metropolitan Ministries for Rapid Re-Housing. SAMM re-housed 389 individuals and families who were homeless or in imminent risk of homelessness. HOPWA funds of \$121,653.00 were awarded to the Alamo Area Recourse Center (AARC) for the Greater SA Housing Works program that assists members with HIV/AIDS with locating permanent housing options, which provided service to 894 individuals with AIDS. The San Antonio AIDS Foundation (SAAF) offered two programs funded by HOPWA to assist homeless individuals with HIV/AIDS to transition to permanent housing and independent living: \$315,163 was awarded to the SAAF Tenant Based Rental Assistance program, which placed 87 persons with HIV/AIDS into affordable housing, and \$130,915 was awarded to the SAAF Transitional Housing program, which assisted 94 persons with HIV/AIDS.

To facilitate access homeless individuals overcome barriers to obtaining housing, the City referred homeless individuals that did not have a driver's license or birth certificate to Christian Assistance Ministry (CAM) to retrieve those missing documents. CAM helped chronically homeless individuals with the ID recovery service which, in turn, allowed the homeless individuals to receive homeless services from other agencies. The Bexar County Planning Council published a resource guide on housing and supportive services available in the San Antonio area for individuals with HIV/AIDS. The resource guides are available at local HIV/AIDS agencies, various medical facilities, and Bexar County Department of Community Resources.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City of San Antonio continues to work in collaboration with the San Antonio Housing Authority (SAHA) to implement strategies related to the modernization of public housing. As part of the City's efforts during the year, executive leadership and staff met regularly with SAHA regarding the implementation of the redevelopment of the Wheatley Courts and the EastPoint Choice Neighborhood Transitional Plan. Additionally, the City continues to work with SAHA to provide solutions to improve the Victoria Commons redevelopment area, as well as, providing financial support for the San Juan Phase III redevelopment.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The following actions were taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership programs:

1. Provided public housing residents with home buying education opportunities

2. Pre-screened residents to identify residents that might be ready for homeownership

3. Assisted residents through the home buying process

4. Provided residents opportunities for funding through the City's HIP Program or SAHA's Public Housing Homeownership Program

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

No actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHA's as the San Antonio Housing Authority is not considered troubled.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

In February 2010, City Council approved the Inner City Reinvestment/ Infill Policy (ICRIP) to promote growth and development in distressed areas of the City. The boundaries of the ICRIP were amended in January 2013 to better focus efforts on the most challenged areas of the City. A set of thirteen (13) equally weighted variables were used to identify these areas of focus that are underserved by public infrastructure, transit, and residential and commercial real estate markets. The ICRIP policy seeks to remove existing obstacles to reinvestment through fee waivers, single point of contact, and expedited plan review within these designated areas.

The City also has made revisions to the Housing Policies to allow more flexible repayment options for homebuyer assistance programs and to modify financing terms for owner occupied rehabilitation activities reducing the maximum assistance but providing a deferred forgivable form of assistance up to \$25,000 and perpetual lien at 0% for assistance between \$25,000 and \$40,000. Additionally, the city also revised policy to allow the rehabilitation programs liens to be subordinate to an existing first mortgage. These actions should make a considerable improvement in the number of clients served.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The trends of reduced federal resources as well as slowly improving economic conditions continue to be obstacles in meeting the needs of the underserved. In San Antonio, these conditions have caused city staff to review policy and delivery programs that serve the City's low income populations.

During the year the City decided to implemented efforts to maximize the impact of community development funding, by providing additional funding through a placed based approach of targeting specific neighborhoods to heighten the impact of our limited funding.

Specifically, the City of San Antonio finalized planning efforts for the REnewSA initiative by identifying improvements within each target area. Staff has identified 18 projects (streets and sidewalks) for target areas and in the process of starting the environmental surveys. An estimated 400 vacant properties were evaluated in the target areas and refined to around 200 properties for further Acquisition & Infill Development consideration. Staff identified vacant parcels for title and land clearance acquires properties. Four commercial structures in the target areas are in the process of receiving assistance for appropriate exterior rehabilitation of their buildings and bring them up to City Code by promoting consistency in design, and creating aesthetically pleasing environments.

The City also established the Neighborhood Enhancement Team (NET), a group of 6 code officers primarily involved in the proactive enforcement activities. Code violations fall into two tiers: Tier 1 violations are life and health safety issues (broken sewers, overgrown yards, vacant

unsecure structures); Tier 2 violations include zoning, basic property maintenance, overgrown alleys, junk vehicles, and unpermitted work. NET has a total of 5,000 violations resolved in the REnewSA target areas during FY 2014. Nearly half of those were in the Wheatley area. About 88% of the resolutions were based on proactive measures. Around 95% of resolved violations were resolved within 45 days.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

From October 1, 2013 – Sept 30, 2014, the City received a \$3M grant award to address lead hazards from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The City also received \$510,000 in FY 14 CDBG funds to match funds for this critical community need. In accordance with federal requirements, the City undertook strategies requiring lead-based paint testing and lead hazard control work in all applicable federally funded housing programs. In addition, the City of San Antonio made significant efforts to eliminate childhood lead poisoning by:

- Implementing sophisticated lead hazard identification and reduction protocols
- Providing a wide range of technical assistance to housing services providers, for-profit and nonprofit housing partners, and contractors
- Making lead hazard control a requirement of all City funded housing rehabilitation programs
- Operating the most efficient and effective lead based paint testing and abatement programs possible

62 families received lead hazard assistance through the City's Green and Healthy Homes Program.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Department of Human Services (DHS) coordinated community-based human investment strategies, develops human capital, and provides a community safety net. DHS also acts as a vehicle for collaboration between public and private organizations. In PY 2013, DHS invested over \$99.7 million in human development strategies.

DHS supports human development and engages human development strategies primarily through early childhood education, after school challenge, youth development, educational scholarships, transitional employment/training, adult literacy services, and asset building/asset protection strategies. The early childhood education goal is to promote workforce participation by providing quality and affordable childcare that will prepare children to enter school ready to succeed. DHS offers the following programs for families in need of child care and after school care services.

Head Start provides center-based educational and family strengthening services and promotes parental/guardian involvement in the educational success of children three, four and five years of age. In PY 2013, the Head Start program was funded for 2,861 slots and 3,218 children participated.

The After School Challenge Program continues to provide recreation and educational activities

to youth during the school year in eight school districts, including 128 Elementary and Middle Schools. Fees are based on family income, size, and number of children. In PY 2013, 11,113 children participated.

Alamo Area Development Cooperation provides child care and provider management services for Bexar County, and 11 surrounding counties. This partnership enhances the delivery of services between urban and rural areas by utilizing the expertise and resources of the two partners. In PY 2013, 8,200 children participated.

The Seamless Child Care Program provides childcare services for families living in transitional housing or an emergency shelter. Child care services are available to these families to enable parents to work, receive training or attend school to become self sufficient. In PY 2013, 160 children and 101 parents participated.

The Family Assistance Division provided free tax preparation to 33,701 individuals during the past year. \$57.7 million came back to the San Antonio community in the form of tax refunds. The Family Assistance Division provides low-income families and individuals with emergency assistance and support. The Family Assistance Centers program provided emergency services and referrals to over 25,000 unduplicated household members. More than 52,000 duplicated individuals received assistance rent and utility assistance, referrals, and case management services.

The Senior Services Division (SSD) offers senior nutritional services, transportation to senior centers and medical appointments, and computer literacy training. Through 61 senior centers, over 700,000 meals were served to eligible seniors. Seven (7) of the senior centers provide comprehensive services focus on health and wellness, case management, nutrition meal and education, fitness/exercise, and social and cultural activities. Senior centers also provide monthly food commodities to low-income seniors through the San Antonio Food Bank's Project HOPE program.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City completed a restructuring of the City's Grants Monitoring and Administration Division of the Department of Planning and Community Development to more strategically align staff functions into four units Contract Management, Fiscal Management, Compliance Management, and Grant Management. The City also continues to play an active role on the Continuum of Care Board of Directors, as well as on the Point in Time Count, Performance Review and Coordinated Access subcommittees. In this role, the City continues to help shape policy priorities set by the Continuum of Care to guide the community as a whole.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City increased its collaboration with the San Antonio Non-Profit Housing Developers (SANPHD) by assisting in agenda building and meeting participation. The City also participates in monthly meetings with the Fair Contracting Coalition, which is a community led group designed

to initiate Diversity Action Plan procedures for the City's contracting opportunities for SWMBEs seeking to do business in goods, services, and construction. The City also coordinates with the San Antonio Housing Authority to deliver the Section 3 Program.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

For the period of October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014, the Fair Housing Program provided a variety of housing counseling and fair housing services to approximately 1,787 households. Of these 1,787 households, eight hundred and eleven (811) received housing counseling services. Demographically, the one thousand seven hundred and eighty-seven (1,787) households receiving these services consisted of approximately four thousand eight hundred and twenty-four (4,824) household members. The City's program provided Default Mortgage Counseling as part of the Housing Counseling activity, utilizing the Mortgage Assistance Program. Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) homeless prevention funds were utilized to provide financial assistance of one (1) months mortgage requirements. Fair Housing staff investigates and mediates tenant-landlord complaints, monitors housing discrimination practices, and encourages voluntary compliance with Fair Housing laws through education and outreach activities. Staff reviews plans of new multi-family construction for accessibility requirements under the Fair Housing Act.

A total of 25 plans with 3,290 units were reviewed during this period. A total of 8,116 of Investigative and Monitoring service units and 22,269 Educational and Outreach service units were completed for the PY 2013. The program continues to utilize several methods of informing the public, homeowners, and potential tenants about the federal fair housing laws.

Methods include informational letters, media appearances, public service announcements, program presentations, Fair Housing handbook distribution, printed program advertisements, apartment visits, and housing provider training. For this reporting period the program distributed approximately 13,330 informational fliers and provided 67 presentations with approximately 2,847 in attendance. The program received 24 housing discrimination complaints of which 3 were mediated and 21 of these complaints were referred to HUD for investigation.

The program continues to work closely with area lenders, the local HUD office, area housing providers and area housing counseling agencies in addressing home foreclosures in San Antonio. The program also continues to coordinate efforts with the State Foreclosure Task Force to take a pro-active approach to reach delinquent homeowners and educate them on foreclosure scam activities in the mortgage field. The program in coordination with members of the San Antonio Local Task Force and in partnership with area lenders provided (2) foreclosure workshops. Delinquent homeowners who were facing foreclosure were able to meet face to face with their lender or a certified housing counselor to do a workout plan to get back on track with their mortgage and eventually avoiding a foreclosure on their property.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Description of the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG and HOME - City staff monitor long term compliance for grant activities. Sub-recipient monitoring is conducted in accordance with HUD regulations to ensure that each recipient operates in compliance with applicable Federal, State and Local regulations. All projects are monitored and technical assistance is provided to address or prevent non-compliance issues.

Staff monitors programs with checklists created in accordance with HUD monitoring guidelines and regulations. Risk assessments were completed by City staff on all active projects. Projects are given a ranking of high, moderate, or low risk. Staff is responsible for completing in depth monitoring reviews on all high risk and selected moderate risk projects. Staff is responsible for completing desk monitoring reviews of the selected moderate risk projects and all low risk projects. Additionally, throughout the duration of the project, staff performs site visits to ensure the scope of work detailed for the project has been met and the appropriate compliance requirements have been satisfied. Once the activity is completed, staff performs a final closeout review. Staff monitors open projects for the various compliance areas.

The City maintains records of the oversight and monitoring of sub-recipients, requiring each sub-recipient to maintain its own records to facilitate the monitoring process and for public access. Records include program files, fiscal files, agency certification files, and monitoring files. These files contain information which substantiates the HUD monitoring guidelines and local requirements assessed.

ESG and HOPWA Programs - Copies of all applicable federal regulations are distributed along with material developed by the City's Department of Human Services, to assist subrecipients with the request for reimbursement and reporting process. All ESG contracts entered into by the City are subject to on-going monitoring throughout the term of the contract. The primary methods of monitoring include:

- On site monitoring reviews
- Review of monthly/quarterly reports
- Review of final reports
- · Periodic site visits, including view of randomly-selected case files
- On-going contact with program staff
- · Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to verify statically data

Subrecipients must ensure that financial records and documents pertaining to costs and expenses under the grant are maintained to reflect all costs of materials, equipment, supplies, services, building costs and all other costs and expenses for which reimbursement is claimed or payment is made.

The City has direct access to any records relevant to the project. All records pertaining to the grant are retained for a period of at least four years following submission of the final expenditure report. In the event that any claim, audit, litigation, or state/federal investigation is started before the expiration of the record retention period, the records are retained by the subrecipient until all claims or findings are resolved.

The contractual agreement requires grantees to submit monthly/quarterly and final reports. Monthly/Quarterly reports describe a project's progress during the quarter through a detailed narrative describing contract activities and the results achieved. To meet HUD reporting requirements, statistical data is also reported in HMIS by agencies to track the type of activity carried out, and the number of individuals and families assisted, including data on the racial/ethnic characteristics of the participants. Other related data required by IDIS is also collected.

Final reports verify fulfillment of all contractual requirements and tabulate final demographic data of participants from HMIS. They also trigger final reimbursement for contractual activities. The narrative follows the basic format established for monthly/quarterly reports, but emphasizes final outcomes. Subrecipients are advised that unless all reporting requirements are satisfactorily met the requests for reimbursement are not processed for payment.

Description of the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The citizen participation process for the CAPER involves a public review and comment period of 15 days. A Public Notice was placed in the Express News and La Prensa, two separate newspapers of general circulation, on December 3, 2014. The report was made available for public review and comment beginning December 4, 2014 at the Department of Planning and Community Development located at 1400 S. Flores Street, San Antonio, Texas 78204, at the Department of Human Services located at 106 South St. Mary's Street, 7th Floor, and the Central Library located at 600 Soledad, as well as, on the Grants Monitoring and Administration website at www.sanantonio.gov/gma.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City did not change the CDBG Program objectives during the 2013 program year. If the City were to change its program based on the results of experiences this past year, it would be to better establish program delivery expenditure expectations. Also the City would base funding decisions not only on Consolidated Plan objectives but on reasonable expectations of accomplishments within a reasonable period of time.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations The City is currently awaiting the final results of onsite inspections.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

The Fair Housing Program continues to affirmatively further housing in addressing greater housing choices by implementing several actions. One action was the continuation of the plan review program with the coordination of the Development Services Department, City's Disability Office, and the Building Permits and Construction Review Offices. The program reviews new multi-family construction plans for the Fair Housing Act's Design and Construction Requirements. Additionally, in an effort to eliminate barriers to affordable housing, the City has made diligent efforts in monitoring the stated action steps of projects with Affirmative Marketing Plans. These plans aim to reach out to minority groups least likely to apply to the affordable housing project around them. Properties are monitored annually for compliance with respective plans. The program completed 25 apartment complex inspections for compliance with their Affirmative Marketing Plans.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

As reported in the PR-09 and cross referenced with a voucher search by date, the City receipted \$2,159,637 in HOME Program Income from numerous loans from prior year HOME assisted rental activities. The City expended \$87,905.72 in program income on 6 Owner Occupied Rehabilitation activities including lead costs and 1 homebuyer assisted unit both of which assisted persons at or below 80% AMI.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	0	0
Tenant-based rental assistance	75	87
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	66
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		94
Total	213	247

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

The City of San Antonio (COSA) is the entitlement recipient of Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds. The service area includes Bexar, Comal, Guadalupe and Wilson counties. During this program year the City funded two project sponsors including The Alamo Area Resource Center (AARC) and San Antonio AIDS Foundation (SAAF). The HOPWA programs address the housing and social service needs of low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS and their family members.

The AARC Housing Works Project provides a housing resource database, housing locator service, and intensive case management to assist individuals and their families in locating and maintaining safe, affordable and appropriate housing. The Housing Works project served 849 individuals living with HIV/AIDS. AARC also provides a transportation program. The AARC transportation program served 882 individuals to ensure accessibility of supportive services and medical appointments for persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families in the Transitional Grant Area (TGA). SAAF provided 24-hour a day, 7 days per week nursing/hospice care with support services to 66 individuals living with HIV/AIDS who could no longer care for themselves. The SAAF Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program provides long-term rental assistance to individuals with HIV/AIDS and their families that have limited or no income and are at risk of becoming homeless; in PY13, the SAAF TBRA program served 87 households. SAAF offers a transitional shelter, the Carson House, which provided services to 94 persons living with HIV/AIDS. The Carson House also has the capacity to serve transgender individuals. A Dining Program is also offered by SAAF, which provided three hot, nutritious meals per day to 64 individuals living with HIV/AIDS.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps **For Paperwork Reduction Act** 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete **Basic Grant Information Recipient Name** SAN ANTONIO **Organizational DUNS Number** 066428400 **EIN/TIN Number** 746002070 **Indentify the Field Office** SAN ANTONIO Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient San Antonio/Bexar County CoC or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete **Program Year Start Date** 10/01/2013 Program Year End Date 09/30/2014 3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAN ANTONIO City: San Antonio State: TX

State: TX Zip Code: 78204, 1617 DUNS Number: 066428400 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 35902

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAN ANTONIO METROPOLITAN MINISTRY, INC. City: San Antonio State: TX Zip Code: 78216, 7017 DUNS Number: Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 312642 Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAN ANTONIO FOOD BANK City: San Antonio State: TX Zip Code: 78227, 2209 DUNS Number: 022247886 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 300000

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	2,183
Children	1,662
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	3,845

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,448
Children	1,027
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	3,475

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	4,886
Children	1,457
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	6,343

Table 17 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	42,407
Children	13,520
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	55,927
	55,927

Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	27,688
Female	28,031
Transgender	41
Don't Know/Refused/Other	136
Missing Information	6
Total	55,902

Table 20 - Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

Age	Total
Under 18	13,520
18-24	4,690
25 and over	37,781
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	55,991

Table 21 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters	Total		
Veterans	2,989	802	1,288	899		
Victims of Domestic						
Violence	1,565	232	435	898		
Elderly	529	102	171	256		
HIV/AIDS	159	2	7	150		
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0		
Persons with Disabiliti	Persons with Disabilities:					
Severely Mentally III	1,827	289	496	1,042		
Chronic Substance						
Abuse	1,378	49	180	1,149		
Other Disability	2,214	480	712	1,022		
Total (Unduplicated						
if possible)	0	0	0	0		

Table 22 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

8. Shelter Utilization	
Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	52,000
Total Number of bed-nights provided	45,575
Capacity Utilization	87.64%
Table 22 Chalter Conseits	

Table 23 – Shelter Capacity

9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

ESG funding is allocated by the City in two year funding cycles. The Program Year 2012 and Program Year 2013 performance measures were developed with the ESG subrecipients and executed by contract prior to the Continuum of Care (CoC) adoption of ESG performance standards in August 2013. However, the CoC standards were developed with input by the City and closely mirror the performance standards already in place.

10. Blank.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	123,206	336,677	317,420
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization			
Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	123,206	336,677	317,420

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	126,224	437,566
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization			
Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	126,224	437,566

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
Essential Services	390,577	503,866	505,904
Operations	0	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	390,577	503,866	505,904

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	26,921	58,324	62,195
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
	540,704	1,025,091	1,323,085

Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	558,527	1,051,987	1,323,085
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	558,527	1,051,987	1,323,085

Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	PY 2011	PY 2012	PY 2013
	1,099,231	2,077,078	2,646,170

Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities